

SOME NOTES ON τ -DISTANCE VERSIONS OF EKELAND'S VARIATIONAL PRINCIPLE

Tomonari SUZUKI

Abstract

We give some notes on τ -distance versions of Ekeland's variational principle.

1. Introduction

Ekeland [4, 5] proved the following very useful existence theorem, which is called Ekeland's variational principle.

THEOREM 1.1 (Ekeland [4, 5]). *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space. Let f be a function from X into $(-\infty, +\infty]$ which is proper lower semicontinuous and bounded from below. Then for $u \in X$ and $\lambda > 0$, there exists $v \in X$ satisfying the following:*

- (i) $f(v) \leq f(u) - \lambda d(u, v)$.
- (ii) $f(w) > f(v) - \lambda d(v, w)$ for all $w \in X \setminus \{v\}$.

Theorem 1.1 has many applications. Theorem 1.1 is equivalent to Caristi's fixed point theorem [2, 3], which is a generalization of the Banach contraction principle [1]. Also, Sullivan [11] proved that Theorem 1.1 characterizes the metric completeness of X . See also [9, 31].

In [13], Suzuki introduced the concept of τ -distances in order to generalize the results of Tataru [30], Zhong [32] and others.

DEFINITION 1.2 ([13]). Let (X, d) be a metric space. Then a function p from $X \times X$ into $[0, \infty)$ is called a τ -distance on X if there exists a function η from $X \times [0, \infty)$ into $[0, \infty)$ and the following are satisfied:

- ($\tau 1$) $p(x, z) \leq p(x, y) + p(y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.
- ($\tau 2$) $\eta(x, 0) = 0$ and $\eta(x, t) \geq t$ for all $x \in X$ and $t \in [0, \infty)$, and η is concave and continuous in its second variable.
- ($\tau 3$) $\lim_n x_n = x$ and $\lim_n \sup\{\eta(z_n, p(z_n, x_m)) : m \geq n\} = 0$ imply $p(w, x) \leq \liminf_n p(w, x_n)$ for all $w \in X$.

Date: Received November 28, 2008.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 54H25.

Key words and phrases. Ekeland's variational principle, τ -distance.

The author is supported in part by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Japanese Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology.

- ($\tau 4$) $\lim_n \sup\{p(x_n, y_m) : m \geq n\} = 0$ and $\lim_n \eta(x_n, t_n) = 0$ imply $\lim_n \eta(y_n, t_n) = 0$.
 ($\tau 5$) $\lim_n \eta(z_n, p(z_n, x_n)) = 0$ and $\lim_n \eta(z_n, p(z_n, y_n)) = 0$ imply $\lim_n d(x_n, y_n) = 0$.

The metric d is a τ -distance on X . Many useful examples and properties are stated in [7, 8, 10, 12–29]. The following is a τ -distance version of Theorem 1.1.

THEOREM 1.3 ([13]). *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space with a τ -distance p . Let f be a function from X into $(-\infty, +\infty]$ which is proper lower semicontinuous and bounded from below. Then the following (A) and (B) hold:*

- (A) *For each $u \in X$, there exists $v \in X$ such that $f(v) \leq f(u)$ and $f(w) > f(v) - p(v, w)$ for all $w \in X \setminus \{v\}$.*
 (B) *For each $\lambda > 0$ and $u \in X$ with $p(u, u) = 0$, there exists $v \in X$ such that $f(v) \leq f(u) - \lambda p(u, v)$ and $f(w) > f(v) - \lambda p(v, w)$ for all $w \in X \setminus \{v\}$.*

Motivated by the strong Ekeland's variational principle proved by Georgiev [6], Suzuki proved the following theorem.

THEOREM 1.4 ([20]). *Let (X, d) be a compact metric space with a τ -distance p . Assume that p is lower semicontinuous in its second variable. Let f be a function from X into $(-\infty, +\infty]$ which is proper and lower semicontinuous. Let $u \in X$ with $p(u, u) = 0$. Then for $\lambda > 0$, there exists $v \in X$ satisfying the following:*

- (i) $f(v) \leq f(u) - \lambda p(u, v)$.
 (ii) $f(w) > f(v) - \lambda p(v, w)$ for all $w \in X \setminus \{v\}$.
 (iii) *If a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X satisfies $\lim_n (f(x_n) + \lambda p(v, x_n)) = f(v)$, then $\{x_n\}$ is p -Cauchy, $\lim_n x_n = v$ and $p(v, v) = \lim_n p(v, x_n) = 0$.*

In this paper, we give some comments on Theorems 1.3 and 1.4.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we give some preliminaries.

DEFINITION 2.1 ([13]). *Let (X, d) be a metric space with a τ -distance p . Then a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is called p -Cauchy if there exist a function η from $X \times [0, \infty)$ into $[0, \infty)$ satisfying ($\tau 2$)–($\tau 5$) and a sequence $\{z_n\}$ in X such that $\lim_n \sup\{\eta(z_n, p(z_n, x_m)) : m \geq n\} = 0$.*

LEMMA 2.2 ([13]). *Let (X, d) be a metric space with a τ -distance p . If $\{x_n\}$ is a p -Cauchy sequence, then $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in the usual sense.*

LEMMA 2.3 ([13]). *Let (X, d) be a metric space with a τ -distance p . If a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X satisfies $\lim_n p(z, x_n) = 0$ for some $z \in X$, then $\{x_n\}$ is p -Cauchy.*

LEMMA 2.4 ([13]). *Let (X, d) be a metric space with a τ -distance p . If a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X satisfies $\lim_n \sup\{p(x_n, x_m) : m > n\} = 0$, then $\{x_n\}$ is p -Cauchy. Moreover if*

a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in X satisfies $\lim_n p(x_n, y_n) = 0$, then $\{y_n\}$ is also p -Cauchy and $\lim_n d(x_n, y_n) = 0$.

PROPOSITION 2.5 ([13, 15]). *Let p be a τ -distance on a metric space (X, d) . Let c be a positive real number and let α be a function from X into $[0, \infty)$. Then two functions q_1 and q_2 from $X \times X$ into $[0, \infty)$ defined by*

- (i) $q_1(x, y) = cp(x, y)$;
- (ii) $q_2(x, y) = \max\{\alpha(x), p(x, y)\}$

are τ -distances on X .

3. A proof

In [13], using some fixed point theorem, we proved Theorem 1.3. In this section, we give a direct proof of Theorem 1.3. Concretely speaking, we give a direct proof of the following theorem, which Theorem 1.3 is an immediate consequence of.

THEOREM 3.1 ([13]). *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space with a τ -distance p , and let f be a function from X into $(-\infty, +\infty]$ which is proper lower semicontinuous and bounded from below. Define*

$$Mx = \{y \in X : f(y) + p(x, y) \leq f(x)\}$$

for $x \in X$. Then the following hold:

- (i) $y \in Mx$ and $z \in My$ imply $z \in Mx$.
- (ii) For every $u \in X$ with $Mu \neq \emptyset$, there exists $v \in Mu$ satisfying $Mv \subset \{v\}$.
- (iii) There exists $z \in X$ such that $Mz \subset \{z\}$.
- (iv) $Mz = \{z\}$ implies $f(z) < \infty$ and $p(z, z) = 0$.

PROOF. (i) follows from

$$f(z) + p(x, z) \leq f(z) + p(x, y) + p(y, z) \leq f(y) + p(x, y) \leq f(x).$$

(iii) follows from (ii). We can easily prove (iv). So we shall prove (ii). Fix $u \in X$ with $Mu \neq \emptyset$. Arguing by contradiction, we assume

- $Mx \setminus \{x\} \neq \emptyset$ for every $x \in Mu$.

We shall define inductively a sequence $\{u_n\}$ in X . Put $u_1 = u$. Suppose that $u_n \in X$ is known for some $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Since $Mu_n \setminus \{u_n\} \neq \emptyset$, we can choose $u_{n+1} \in Mu_n$ such that

$$u_{n+1} \neq u_n \quad \text{and} \quad f(u_{n+1}) \leq \inf\{f(x) : x \in Mu_n\} + 1/n < \infty.$$

We have defined $\{u_n\}$. We note

$$f(u_{n+1}) \leq f(u_n) \quad \text{and} \quad Mu_{n+1} \subset Mu_n$$

because $u_{n+1} \in Mu_n$. Since $\{f(u_n)\}$ is a nonincreasing sequence in $(-\infty, +\infty]$ and f is bounded from below, $\{f(u_n)\}$ converges to some real number. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{m > n} p(u_n, u_m) &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{m > n} \sum_{j=n}^{m-1} p(u_j, u_{j+1}) \\
&\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{m > n} \sum_{j=n}^{m-1} (f(u_j) - f(u_{j+1})) \\
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{m > n} (f(u_n) - f(u_m)) \\
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (f(u_n) - f(u_m)) \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.4, $\{u_n\}$ is a p -Cauchy sequence. By Lemma 2.2, $\{u_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in the usual sense. Since X is complete, $\{u_n\}$ converges to some point $v \in X$. Using $(\tau 3)$ and (i), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
f(v) + p(u_n, v) &\leq \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} f(u_m) + \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} p(u_n, u_m) \\
&\leq \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} (f(u_m) + p(u_n, u_m)) \\
&\leq f(u_n)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and hence $v \in \bigcap_n Mu_n \subset Mu$. We choose $w \in Mv \setminus \{v\}$. Then $w \in \bigcap_n Mu_n$ by (i). We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(u_n, w) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(u_{n+1}, w) \\
&\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (f(u_{n+1}) - f(w)) \\
&\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (f(u_{n+1}) - \inf\{f(x) : x \in Mu_n\}) \\
&\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 1/n \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.4, we have $\lim_n d(u_n, w) = 0$, which implies $v = w$. This is a contradiction. We have shown (ii). \square

4. Strong Ekeland's theorem

In this section, we consider Theorem 1.4. We first show that the assumption “ p is lower semicontinuous in its second variable” is needed in Theorem 1.4.

PROPOSITION 4.1. *Let (X, d) be a metric space and let c be a positive real number. Let p be a function from $X \times X$ into $[0, \infty)$. Assume*

- $p(x, y) \leq 2c$ for all $(x, y) \in X \times X$;
- $p(x, y) < c$ implies $x = y$.

Then p is a τ -distance on X .

PROOF. Arguing by contradiction, we assume there exist $x, y, z \in X$ such that

$$p(x, z) > p(x, y) + p(y, z).$$

Then since $p(x, z) \leq 2c$, either $p(x, y) < c$ or $p(y, z) < c$ holds. From the assumption, either $x = y$ or $y = z$ holds. In both cases,

$$p(x, z) \leq p(x, y) + p(y, z)$$

holds. This is a contradiction. We have shown $(\tau 1)$. Define a function η from $X \times [0, \infty)$ into $[0, \infty)$ by

$$(1) \quad \eta(x, t) = t$$

for $x \in X$ and $t \in [0, \infty)$. Then it is obvious that η satisfies $(\tau 2)$. Assume that $\lim_n x_n = x$ and $\lim_n \sup\{\eta(z_n, p(z_n, x_m)) : m \geq n\} = 0$. Since

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{m \geq n} p(z_n, x_m) = 0,$$

there exists $v \in \mathbf{N}$ such that

$$\sup_{m \geq v} p(z_v, x_m) < c.$$

This implies $x_m = z_v$ for every $m \geq v$. Hence $x = z_v$. Therefore

$$p(w, x) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(w, x_n) \quad \text{for all } w \in X.$$

This implies $(\tau 3)$. By the definition of η , $(\tau 4)$ clearly holds. We assume

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta(z_n, p(z_n, x_n)) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta(z_n, p(z_n, y_n)) = 0.$$

Then since $\lim_n p(z_n, x_n) = 0$ and $\lim_n p(z_n, y_n) = 0$, there exists $v \in \mathbf{N}$ such that

$$p(z_n, x_n) < c \quad \text{and} \quad p(z_n, y_n) < c$$

for all $n \geq v$. Thus, we have $z_n = x_n$ and $z_n = y_n$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$ with $n \geq v$. Therefore $\lim_n d(x_n, y_n) = 0$ holds. This implies $(\tau 5)$. Therefore p is a τ -distance. \square

EXAMPLE 4.2. Define a compact metric space (X, d) by

$$X = [0, 1] \cup \{2\} \quad \text{and} \quad d(x, y) = |x - y|.$$

Define a τ -distance p on X by

$$p(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = y \\ 2 & \text{if } x \in [0, 1] \text{ and } y \neq x \\ 2 - y & \text{if } x = 2 \text{ and } y \in [0, 1] \\ 2 & \text{if } x = 2 \text{ and } y = 1. \end{cases}$$

Define a continuous function f from X into $[0, \infty)$ by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in [0, 1] \\ 2 & \text{if } x = 2. \end{cases}$$

Put $u := 2 \in X$ and $\lambda := 1 > 0$. Then there does not exist $v \in X$ satisfying (i)–(iii) of Theorem 1.4.

PROOF. Using Proposition 4.1 with $c = 1$, p is a τ -distance on X . $p(u, u) = 0$ obviously holds. For $x \in [0, 1]$, since $p(u, x) > 1$, we have

$$f(x) = 1 > 2 - p(u, x) = f(u) - \lambda p(u, x).$$

Thus $x \in [0, 1]$ does not satisfy (i). Define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X by $x_n = 1 - 1/n$. Then we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (f(x_n) + \lambda p(2, x_n)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (2 + 1/n) = 2 = f(2)$$

and $\lim_n x_n = 1 \neq 2$. Thus 2 does not satisfy (iii). \square

We can remove the lower semicontinuity of p when we assume another assumption.

THEOREM 4.3. *Let (X, d) be a compact metric space with a τ -distance p . Assume the following:*

(A) *For every sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X , there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that $\{x_{n_k}\}$ is p -Cauchy.*

Let f be a function from X into $(-\infty, +\infty]$ which is proper and lower semicontinuous. Let $u \in X$ with $p(u, u) = 0$. Then for $\lambda > 0$, there exists $v \in X$ satisfying (i)–(iii) of Theorem 1.4.

PROOF. We first note that f is bounded from below because X is compact and f is lower semicontinuous. From Theorem 1.3 (B), there exists $v \in X$ satisfying (i) and (ii) of Theorem 1.4. We note $f(v) < \infty$ follows from (ii). We shall show such v satisfies (iii). Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X with

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (f(x_n) + \lambda p(v, x_n)) = f(v).$$

Let $\{x_{n_k}\}$ be an arbitrary subsequence of $\{x_n\}$. From (A), there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_{k_j}}\}$ of $\{x_{n_k}\}$ such that $\{x_{n_{k_j}}\}$ is p -Cauchy. We put $y_j = x_{n_{k_j}}$. By Lemma 2.2, $\{y_j\}$ is

Cauchy in the usual sense. Since X is compact, $\{y_j\}$ converges to some point $y \in X$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2) \quad f(y) + \lambda p(v, y) &\leq \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} f(y_j) + \lambda \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} p(v, y_j) \\
 &\leq \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} (f(y_j) + \lambda p(v, y_j)) \\
 &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} (f(y_j) + \lambda p(v, y_j)) \\
 &= f(v).
 \end{aligned}$$

From this and (ii), $v = y$ holds. Since $\{x_{n_k}\}$ is arbitrary, we obtain $\{x_n\}$ converges to v . We also obtain $p(v, v) = 0$ from (2). We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(v) &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) \\
 &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) \\
 &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (f(x_n) + \lambda p(v, x_n)) \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (f(x_n) + \lambda p(v, x_n)) \\
 &= f(v)
 \end{aligned}$$

and hence $\lim_n f(x_n) = f(v)$. Therefore $\lim_n p(v, x_n) = 0$. Thus $\{x_n\}$ is p -Cauchy by Lemma 2.3. \square

In the above proof, we only use the completeness of X . However, X becomes compact from (A).

PROPOSITION 4.4. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space with a τ -distance p . Assume (A) of Theorem 4.3. Then X is compact.*

PROOF. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X . Then from the assumption, there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that $\{x_{n_k}\}$ is p -Cauchy. By Lemma 2.2, $\{x_{n_k}\}$ is Cauchy in the usual sense. Since X is complete, $\{x_{n_k}\}$ converges to some $y \in X$. Therefore every sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X has a subsequence which converges. Hence X is compact. \square

We finally give the following example.

EXAMPLE 4.5. Define a compact metric space (X, d) by

$$X = [0, 1] \quad \text{and} \quad d(x, y) = |x - y|.$$

Define a τ -distance p on X by

$$p(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = 0 \\ |x - y| & \text{if } x \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Then p satisfies (A) of Theorem 4.3, but $p(0, 0) > 0$ holds.

PROOF. By Proposition 2.5, p is a τ -distance. It is obvious that $p(0, 0) > 0$. Define a function η from $X \times [0, \infty)$ by (1). Then η satisfies $(\tau 2)$ – $(\tau 5)$. In order to show (A) of Theorem 4.3, we let $\{x_n\}$ be an arbitrary sequence in X . Since X is compact, there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ which converging. Define a sequence $\{z_k\}$ in X by

$$z_k = \begin{cases} 1/k & \text{if } x_{n_k} = 0 \\ x_{n_k} & \text{if } x_{n_k} \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{j \geq k} \eta(z_k, p(z_k, x_{n_j})) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{j \geq k} |z_k - x_{n_j}| \\ &\leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{j \geq k} (|z_k - x_{n_k}| + |x_{n_k} - x_{n_j}|) \\ &\leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(1/k + \sup_{j \geq k} |x_{n_k} - x_{n_j}| \right) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

thus, $\{x_{n_k}\}$ is p -Cauchy. □

References

- [1] S. Banach, Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux équations intégrales, *Fund. Math.*, **3** (1922), 133–181.
- [2] J. Caristi, Fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying inwardness conditions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **215** (1976), 241–251. MR0394329
- [3] J. Caristi and W. A. Kirk, Geometric fixed point theory and inwardness conditions, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, Vol. 490, pp. 74–83, Springer, Berlin, 1975. MR0399968
- [4] I. Ekeland, On the variational principle, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **47** (1974), 324–353. MR0346619
- [5] ———, Nonconvex minimization problems, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **1** (1979), 443–474. MR0526967
- [6] P. G. Georgiev, The strong Ekeland variational principle, the strong drop theorem and applications, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **131** (1988), 1–21. MR0934428
- [7] O. Kada, T. Suzuki and W. Takahashi, Nonconvex minimization theorems and fixed point theorems in complete metric spaces, *Math. Japon.*, **44** (1996), 381–391. MR1416281
- [8] M. Kikkawa and T. Suzuki, Some similarity between contractions and Kannan mappings II, *Bull. Kyushu Inst. Technol.*, **55** (2008), 1–13.

- [9] W. A. Kirk, Caristi's fixed point theorem and metric convexity, *Colloq. Math.*, **36** (1976), 81–86. MR0436111
- [10] N. Shioji, T. Suzuki and W. Takahashi, Contractive mappings, Kannan mappings and metric completeness, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **126** (1998), 3117–3124. MR1469434
- [11] F. Sullivan, A characterization of complete metric spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **83** (1981), 345–346. MR0624927
- [12] T. Suzuki, Several fixed point theorems in complete metric spaces, *Yokohama Math. J.*, **44** (1997), 61–72. MR1453351
- [13] ———, Generalized distance and existence theorems in complete metric spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **253** (2001), 440–458. MR1808147
- [14] ———, On Downing-Kirk's theorem, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **286** (2003), 453–458. MR2008843
- [15] ———, Several fixed point theorems concerning τ -distance, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, **2004** (2004), 195–209. MR2096951
- [16] ———, Generalized Caristi's fixed point theorems by Bae and others, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **302** (2005), 502–508. MR2107850
- [17] ———, Contractive mappings are Kannan mappings, and Kannan mappings are contractive mappings in some sense, *Comment. Math. Prace Mat.*, **45** (2005), 45–58. MR2199893
- [18] ———, Counterexamples on τ -distance versions of generalized Caristi's fixed point theorems, *Bull. Kyushu Inst. Technol.*, **52** (2005), 15–20. MR2147163
- [19] ———, Ume's generalization of Takahashi's nonconvex minimization theorem, *Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl.*, **10** (2005), 629–639. MR2186567
- [20] ———, The strong Ekeland variational principle, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **320** (2006), 787–794. MR2225994
- [21] ———, Some notes on Meir-Keeler contractions and L-functions, *Bull. Kyushu Inst. Technol.*, **53** (2006), 1–13. MR2237618
- [22] ———, Fixed point theorems for more generalized contractions in complete metric spaces, *Demonstratio Math.*, **40** (2007), 219–227. MR2330379
- [23] ———, Meir-Keeler contractions of integral type are still Meir-Keeler contractions, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, **2007** (2007), Article ID 39281, 1–6. MR2285999
- [24] ———, A definitive result on asymptotic contractions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **335** (2007), 707–715. MR2340349
- [25] ———, Asymptotic contractions of integral type, *Bull. Kyushu Inst. Technol.*, **54** (2007), 1–11. MR2371764
- [26] ———, On the relation between the weak Palais-Smale condition and coercivity given by Zhong, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **68** (2008), 2471–2478. MR2398665
- [27] T. Suzuki and M. Kikkawa, Some remarks on a recent generalization of the Banach contraction principle, in *Proceedings of the Eighth International Conference on Fixed Point Theory and its Applications* (S. Dhompongsa, K. Goebel, W. A. Kirk, S. Plubtieng, B. Sims, and S. Suantai Eds.), pp. 151–161, Yokohama Publishers, 2008.
- [28] T. Suzuki, R. Saadati and D. O'Regan, Common fixed points of two commuting mappings in complete metric spaces, *Bull. Iranian Math. Soc.*, **32** (2006), 53–66. MR2337086
- [29] T. Suzuki and W. Takahashi, Fixed point theorems and characterizations of metric completeness, *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.*, **8** (1996), 371–382. MR1483635
- [30] D. Tataru, Viscosity solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi equations with unbounded nonlinear terms, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **163** (1992), 345–392. MR1145836
- [31] J. D. Weston, A characterization of metric completeness, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **64** (1977), 186–188. MR0458359

- [32] C.-K. Zhong, On Ekeland's variational principle and a minimax theorem, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **205** (1997), 239–250. MR1426991

*Department of Basic Sciences
Kyushu Institute of Technology
Tobata, Kitakyushu 804-8550, Japan
E-mail address: suzuki-t@mns.kyutech.ac.jp*