

SOME COMMENTS ABOUT RECENT RESULTS ON ONE-PARAMETER NONEXPANSIVE SEMIGROUPS

Tomonari SUZUKI

Abstract

We discuss common fixed points of one-parameter nonexpansive semigroups. In particular, we give some comments about recent results in Suzuki's papers [J. Math. Anal. Appl., 324 (2006), 1006–1019] and [Israel J. Math., 157 (2007), 239–257].

1. Introduction

Let C be a subset of a Banach space E . A mapping T on C is called a *nonexpansive mapping* if $\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\|$ for all $x, y \in C$. We denote by $F(T)$ the set of fixed points of T . We know that $F(T)$ is nonempty in the case where E is a Hilbert space and C is bounded closed and convex; see [1–3, 7, 8] and others. A family of mappings $\{T(t) : t \geq 0\}$ is called a *one-parameter strongly continuous semigroup of nonexpansive mappings* (*one-parameter nonexpansive semigroup*, for short) on C if the following are satisfied:

- (ns 1) for each $t \geq 0$, $T(t)$ is a nonexpansive mapping on C ;
- (ns 2) $T(s + t) = T(s) \circ T(t)$ for all $s, t \geq 0$;
- (ns 3) for each $x \in C$, the mapping $t \mapsto T(t)x$ from $[0, \infty)$ into C is strongly continuous.

We denote by $F(\mathcal{T})$ the set of common fixed points of $\{T(t) : t \geq 0\}$, that is,

$$F(\mathcal{T}) = \bigcap_{t \geq 0} F(T(t)).$$

We know that $F(\mathcal{T})$ is nonempty in the case where E is a Hilbert space and C is bounded closed and convex; see [3, 6]. In 1967, Browder [4] proved the following strong convergence theorem, and Reich [11] extended the theorem to uniformly smooth Banach spaces.

Date: Received November 30, 2006.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 47H20, Secondary 47H09, 47H10.

Key words and phrases. One-parameter nonexpansive semigroup, nonexpansive mapping, fixed point, Browder's type convergence theorem.

The author is supported in part by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Japanese Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology.

THEOREM 1 (Browder [4]). *Let C be a bounded closed convex subset of a Hilbert space E and let T be a nonexpansive mapping on C . Let $\{\alpha_n\}$ be a sequence in $(0, 1)$ converging to 0. Fix $u \in C$ and define a sequence $\{u_n\}$ in C by*

$$u_n = (1 - \alpha_n)Tu_n + \alpha_n u$$

for $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Then $\{u_n\}$ converges strongly to the element of $F(T)$ nearest to u .

Motivated by Theorem 1, Suzuki [13, 23] proved the following theorem, which is a one-parameter version of Theorem 1.

THEOREM 2 ([23]). *Let C be a weakly compact convex subset of a Banach space E . Assume that either of the following holds:*

- *E is uniformly convex with uniformly Gâteaux differentiable norm;*
- *E is uniformly smooth; or*
- *E is a smooth Banach space with the Opial property and the duality mapping J of E is weakly sequentially continuous at zero.*

Let $\{T(t) : t \geq 0\}$ be a one-parameter nonexpansive semigroup on C . Let τ be a nonnegative real number. Let $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{t_n\}$ be sequences in \mathbf{R} satisfying $0 < \alpha_n < 1$, $0 < \tau + t_n$ and $t_n \neq 0$ for $n \in \mathbf{N}$, and $\lim_n t_n = \lim_n \alpha_n/t_n = 0$. Fix $u \in C$ and define a sequence $\{u_n\}$ in C by

$$u_n = (1 - \alpha_n)T(\tau + t_n)u_n + \alpha_n u$$

for $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Then $\{u_n\}$ converges strongly to Pu , where P is the unique sunny nonexpansive retraction from C onto $F(\mathcal{T})$.

In Theorem 2, we assume that $\{t_n\}$ converges to 0, that is, $\{\tau + t_n\}$ converges. The author thought that this condition is essential. However this is not true. In this paper, we shall show it.

Also, the author has studied characterizations of (common) fixed points for nonexpansive semigroups and nonexpansive mappings; see [12, 14–22, 24–26]. We shall give two characterizations, which concern results in [21].

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we give some preliminaries. Throughout this paper, we denote by \mathbf{N} the set of positive integers, and by \mathbf{R} the set of real numbers.

Let E be a real Banach space. We denote by E^* the dual of E . E is called *uniformly convex* if for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\frac{\|x + y\|}{2} < 1 - \delta$$

for all $x, y \in E$ with $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$ and $\|x - y\| \geq \varepsilon$. E is said to be *smooth* or said to have a *Gâteaux differentiable norm* if the limit

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|x + ty\| - \|x\|}{t}$$

exists for each $x, y \in E$ with $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$. E is said to have a *uniformly Gâteaux differentiable norm* if for each $y \in E$ with $\|y\| = 1$, the limit is attained uniformly in $x \in E$ with $\|x\| = 1$. E is said to be *uniformly smooth* or said to have a *uniformly Fréchet differentiable norm* if the limit is attained uniformly in $x, y \in E$ with $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$. E is said to have the *Opial property* [9] if for each weakly convergent sequence $\{x_n\}$ in E with weak limit x_0 ,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x_0\| < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x\|$$

holds for $x \in E$ with $x \neq x_0$.

Let E be a smooth Banach space. The duality mapping J from E into E^* is defined by

$$\langle x, J(x) \rangle = \|x\|^2 = \|J(x)\|^2$$

for $x \in E$. J is said to be *weakly sequentially continuous at zero* if for every sequence $\{x_n\}$ in E which converges weakly to $0 \in E$, $\{J(x_n)\}$ converges weakly* to $0 \in E^*$.

We recall that a closed convex subset C of a Banach space E is said to have the *fixed point property for nonexpansive mappings* (FPP, for short) if for every bounded closed convex subset K of C , every nonexpansive self-mapping on K has a fixed point. We remark that if E and C satisfy the assumption in Theorem 2, then C has FPP.

Let C and K be subsets of a Banach space E . A mapping P from C into K is called *sunny* [5] if

$$P(Px + t(x - Px)) = Px$$

for $x \in C$ with $Px + t(x - Px) \in C$ and $t \geq 0$. Reich [10] proved that if E is smooth, C is convex and K is a subset of C , then there is at most one sunny nonexpansive retraction from C onto K . See also [27].

The following theorem is one of the most celebrated fixed point theorems for families of nonexpansive mappings.

THEOREM 3 (Bruck [6]). *Suppose a weakly compact convex subset C of a Banach space has the fixed point property for nonexpansive mappings. Then for any commuting family S of nonexpansive mappings on C , the set of common fixed points of S is a nonempty nonexpansive retract of C . That is, there exists a nonexpansive mapping P from C onto*

$$\bigcap_{T \in S} F(T)$$

such that $P^2 = P$.

The following lemma is well known.

LEMMA 1. Let $\{u_n\}$ be a sequence in a Banach space E and let z belong to E . Assume that every subsequence of $\{u_n\}$ has a subsequence converging to z . Then $\{u_n\}$ itself converges to z .

3. Browder-type convergence theorem

In this section, using Theorem 2, we generalize Theorem 2. We also state some comments on Theorem 2.

THEOREM 4. Let E , C and $\{T(t) : t \geq 0\}$ be as in Theorem 2. Let $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{t_n\}$ be sequences in \mathbf{R} satisfying

- (i) $0 < \alpha_n < 1$, $0 \leq t_n$ and $s_n := \liminf_m |t_m - t_n| > 0$ for $n \in \mathbf{N}$;
- (ii) $\{t_n\}$ is bounded;
- (iii) $\lim_n \alpha_n/s_n = 0$.

Fix $u \in C$ and define a sequence $\{u_n\}$ in C by

$$u_n = (1 - \alpha_n)T(t_n)u_n + \alpha_n u$$

for $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Then $\{u_n\}$ converges strongly to Pu , where P is the unique sunny nonexpansive retraction from C onto $F(\mathcal{T})$.

REMARK. From the proof below, we obtain $\lim_n s_n = 0$.

PROOF. Let $\{f(n)\}$ be an arbitrary subsequence of $\{n\}$. Then since $\{t_n\}$ is bounded, there exists a subsequence $\{g(n)\}$ of $\{n\}$ such that $\{t_{f \circ g(n)}\}$ converges to some nonnegative real number τ . We note $s_{f \circ g(n)} \leq |\tau - t_{f \circ g(n)}|$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$, which implies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha_{f \circ g(n)}}{t_{f \circ g(n)} - \tau} = 0.$$

By Theorem 2, $\{u_{f \circ g(n)}\}$ converges strongly to Pu . Since $\{f(n)\}$ is arbitrary, we obtain that $\{u_n\}$ converges strongly to Pu . \square

We give an example of sequences $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{t_n\}$.

EXAMPLE 1. Define functions f and g from \mathbf{N} into $\mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$ by

$$f(n) = \max \left\{ k \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\} : \frac{k(k+1)}{2} < n \right\}$$

and

$$g(n) = n - \frac{f(n)(f(n)+1)}{2}$$

for $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Define sequences $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{t_n\}$ in \mathbf{R} by

$$t_n = \frac{1}{2^{g(n)}} + \frac{1}{4^n} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_n = \frac{1}{4^{2n}}$$

for $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Then $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{t_n\}$ satisfy the assumptions in Theorem 4, and $1/2^v$ is a cluster point of $\{t_n\}$ for every $v \in \mathbf{N}$.

REMARK. The sequence $\{g(n)\}$ is

$$1, 1, 2, 1, 2, 3, 1, 2, 3, 4, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 1, 2, \dots$$

Next, we prove the following lemma, which is one of key lemmas to prove Theorem 2.

LEMMA 2. *Let C be a closed convex subset of a Banach space E with the fixed point property for nonexpansive mappings. Let $\{T(t) : t \geq 0\}$ be a one-parameter nonexpansive semigroup on C . Let A be a nonempty weakly compact convex subset of C and let τ be a nonnegative real number such that the following hold:*

- A is $T(\tau)$ -invariant.
- If $y \in A$ satisfies $T(\tau)y = y$, then $T(t)y \in A$ for all $t \geq 0$.

Then $\{T(t) : t \geq 0\}$ has a common fixed point in A .

PROOF. Put $D = A \cap F(T(\tau))$. Then since A has FPP, D is nonempty. By Bruck's theorem (Theorem 3), there exists a nonexpansive retraction P from A onto D . Fix $y \in D$ and $t \geq 0$. From the second assumption, we have $T(t)y \in A$. We also have

$$T(t)y = T(t) \circ T(\tau)y = T(t + \tau)y = T(\tau) \circ T(t)y$$

and hence $T(t)y \in F(T(\tau))$. Therefore $T(t)y \in D$. This means that D is $T(t)$ -invariant for all $t \geq 0$. For each $t \geq 0$, we define a mapping $S(t)$ on A by $S(t) = T(t) \circ P$. We shall show that $\{S(t) : t \geq 0\}$ is a one-parameter nonexpansive semigroup on A . For $x, y \in A$, we have

$$\|S(t)x - S(t)y\| = \|T(t) \circ Px - T(t) \circ Py\| \leq \|Px - Py\| \leq \|x - y\|.$$

That is, $S(t)$ is a nonexpansive mapping on A . Since $S(t)A \subset D$, we have $P \circ S(t) = S(t)$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned} S(s) \circ S(t) &= T(s) \circ P \circ S(t) = T(s) \circ S(t) = T(s) \circ T(t) \circ P \\ &= T(s + t) \circ P = S(s + t) \end{aligned}$$

for all $s, t \geq 0$. The strong continuity of $(t \mapsto S(t)x)$ is obvious. Therefore we have shown that $\{S(t) : t \geq 0\}$ is a one-parameter nonexpansive semigroup on A . By using Bruck's theorem (Theorem 3) again, there exists a common fixed point $z \in A$ of $\{S(t) : t \geq 0\}$. We have $z = S(1)z \in D$ and hence $Pz = z$. So, for every $t \geq 0$, we have

$$z = S(t)z = T(t) \circ Pz = T(t)z.$$

That is, z is a common fixed point of $\{T(t) : t \geq 0\}$. □

Finally, we prove the following proposition, which shows that the condition $\lim_n \alpha_n/t_n = 0$ is essential in Theorem 2.

PROPOSITION 1. *Let E be the two dimensional real Hilbert space and put $C = E$. Define a one-parameter linear nonexpansive semigroup $\{T(t) : t \geq 0\}$ on C by*

$$T(t) \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(t)x - \sin(t)y \\ \sin(t)x + \cos(t)y \end{bmatrix}$$

for all $(x, y) \in C$ and $t \geq 0$. Put $u = (1, 0)$. Let $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{t_n\}$ be sequences in \mathbf{R} satisfying $0 < \alpha_n < 1$ and $0 < t_n$ for $n \in \mathbf{N}$, and $\lim_n \alpha_n = \lim_n t_n = 0$. Define a sequence $\{u_n\}$ in C by

$$u_n = (1 - \alpha_n)T(t_n)u + \alpha_n u$$

for $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) $\{u_n\}$ converges to $(0, 0)$;
- (ii) $\lim_n \alpha_n/t_n = 0$ holds.

PROOF. We note $F(\mathcal{F}) = \{(0, 0)\}$. By Theorem 2, (ii) implies (i). Let us prove that (i) implies (ii). We assume (i). For $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $t \in (0, \infty)$, we put $x(\alpha, t)$ and $y(\alpha, t)$ by

$$\begin{bmatrix} x(\alpha, t) \\ y(\alpha, t) \end{bmatrix} = \frac{\alpha}{4(1 - \alpha) \sin^2(t/2) + \alpha^2} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha + 2(1 - \alpha) \sin^2(t/2) \\ (1 - \alpha) \sin(t) \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is easy to verify that

$$\begin{bmatrix} x(\alpha, t) \\ y(\alpha, t) \end{bmatrix} = (1 - \alpha)T(t) \begin{bmatrix} x(\alpha, t) \\ y(\alpha, t) \end{bmatrix} + \alpha u.$$

That is, $u_n = (x(\alpha_n, t_n), y(\alpha_n, t_n))$ for $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Arguing by contradiction, we assume $\limsup_n \alpha_n/t_n > 0$. Thus, $\theta := \liminf_n t_n/\alpha_n < \infty$. We choose a subsequence $\{f(n)\}$ of $\{n\}$ such that $\lim_n t_{f(n)}/\alpha_{f(n)} = \theta$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x(\alpha_{f(n)}, t_{f(n)}) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha_{f(n)}(\alpha_{f(n)} + 2(1 - \alpha_{f(n)}) \sin^2(t_{f(n)}/2))}{4(1 - \alpha_{f(n)}) \sin^2(t_{f(n)}/2) + \alpha_{f(n)}^2} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1 + 2(1 - \alpha_{f(n)}) \left(\frac{\sin(t_{f(n)}/2)}{t_{f(n)}/2} \right)^2 \frac{t_{f(n)}}{4} \frac{t_{f(n)}}{\alpha_{f(n)}}}{(1 - \alpha_{f(n)}) \left(\frac{\sin(t_{f(n)}/2)}{t_{f(n)}/2} \right)^2 \left(\frac{t_{f(n)}}{\alpha_{f(n)}} \right)^2 + 1} \\ &= \frac{1}{\theta^2 + 1}. \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction. □

4. Characterizations

The following theorem is essentially proved in [21]. We give a simple proof of it.

THEOREM 5 ([21]). *Let $\{T(t) : t \geq 0\}$ be a one-parameter nonexpansive semigroup on a subset C of a Banach space E . Define a nonexpansive mapping S from C into E by*

$$Sx = \lambda \int_0^\infty \exp(-\lambda s) T(s)x \, ds$$

for $x \in C$, where $\lambda > 0$. Then $F(S) = F(\mathcal{T})$ holds.

PROOF. It is obvious that $F(S) \supset F(\mathcal{T})$. Let us prove the converse inclusion. Let $z \in C$ be a fixed point of S . For $n \in \mathbf{N}$, we put

$$M_n = \max\{\|T(t)z - T(0)z\| : 0 \leq t \leq n\}$$

and $M = \sup_n M_n$. For every $n \in \mathbf{N}$, we choose $L_n \in \mathbf{R}$ satisfying

$$0 \leq L_n \leq n \quad \text{and} \quad M_n = \|T(L_n)z - T(0)z\|.$$

We consider the following three cases:

- A. $M = 0$
- B. $M > 0$ and $\limsup_n L_n < \infty$
- C. $M > 0$ and $\limsup_n L_n = \infty$

(Case A) In this case, $T(0)z$ is a common fixed point of $\{T(t) : t \geq 0\}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} z &= Sz \\ &= \lambda \int_0^\infty \exp(-\lambda s) T(s+0)z \, ds \\ &= \lambda \int_0^\infty \exp(-\lambda s) T(s) \circ T(0)z \, ds \\ &= \lambda \int_0^\infty \exp(-\lambda s) T(0)z \, ds \\ &= T(0)z. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $z \in F(\mathcal{T})$.

(Case B) We put $L = \limsup_n L_n$. Then it is obvious that $\|T(L)z - T(0)z\| = M$. We choose $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\|T(t)z - T(0)z\| < M/2$$

for $t \in [0, \delta]$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} M &= \|T(L)z - T(0)z\| \\ &\leq \|T(L)z - z\| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \|T(L)z - Sz\| \\
&\leq \lambda \int_0^\infty \exp(-\lambda s) \|T(L)z - T(s)z\| ds \\
&= \lambda \int_0^L \exp(-\lambda s) \|T(L)z - T(s)z\| ds + \lambda \int_L^{L+\delta} \exp(-\lambda s) \|T(L)z - T(s)z\| ds \\
&\quad + \lambda \int_{L+\delta}^\infty \exp(-\lambda s) \|T(L)z - T(s)z\| ds \\
&\leq \lambda \int_0^L \exp(-\lambda s) \|T(L-s)z - T(0)z\| ds \\
&\quad + \lambda \int_L^{L+\delta} \exp(-\lambda s) \|T(s-L)z - T(0)z\| ds \\
&\quad + \lambda \int_{L+\delta}^\infty \exp(-\lambda s) \|T(s-L)z - T(0)z\| ds \\
&\leq \lambda \int_0^L \exp(-\lambda s) M ds + \lambda \int_L^{L+\delta} \exp(-\lambda s) M/2 ds \\
&\quad + \lambda \int_{L+\delta}^\infty \exp(-\lambda s) M ds \\
&= M \left(1 - \exp(-\lambda L) + \frac{\exp(-\lambda L)}{2} - \frac{\exp(-\lambda(L+\delta))}{2} + \exp(-\lambda(L+\delta)) \right) \\
&= M \left(1 - \frac{\exp(-\lambda L)}{2} + \frac{\exp(-\lambda(L+\delta))}{2} \right) \\
&< M,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies a contradiction.

(Case C) We choose $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\|T(t)z - T(0)z\| < \min\{M/3, 1\}$$

for $t \in [0, \delta]$. For $k, n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $t \in [0, L_n]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|T((k+1)L_n + t)z - T(L_n)z\| \\
&\leq \|T(kL_n + t)z - T(0)z\| \\
&\leq \sum_{j=1}^k \|T(jL_n)z - T((j-1)L_n)z\| + \|T(kL_n + t)z - T(kL_n)z\|
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \sum_{j=1}^k \|T(L_n)z - T(0)z\| + \|T(t)z - T(0)z\| \\
&\leq (k+1)M_n.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, for $n \in \mathbf{N}$ with $\delta < L_n$ and $\min\{M/3, 1\} < M_n/2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
M_n &= \|T(L_n)z - T(0)z\| \\
&\leq \|T(L_n)z - z\| \\
&= \|T(L_n)z - Sz\| \\
&\leq \lambda \int_0^\infty \exp(-\lambda s) \|T(L_n)z - T(s)z\| ds \\
&= \lambda \int_0^{L_n-\delta} \exp(-\lambda s) \|T(L_n)z - T(s)z\| ds \\
&\quad + \lambda \int_{L_n-\delta}^{L_n} \exp(-\lambda s) \|T(L_n)z - T(s)z\| ds \\
&\quad + \lambda \int_{L_n}^{2L_n} \exp(-\lambda s) \|T(L_n)z - T(s)z\| ds \\
&\quad + \lambda \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{(k+1)L_n}^{(k+2)L_n} \exp(-\lambda s) \|T(L_n)z - T(s)z\| ds \\
&\leq \lambda \int_0^{L_n-\delta} \exp(-\lambda s) \|T(L_n-s)z - T(0)z\| ds \\
&\quad + \lambda \int_{L_n-\delta}^{L_n} \exp(-\lambda s) \|T(L_n-s)z - T(0)z\| ds \\
&\quad + \lambda \int_{L_n}^{2L_n} \exp(-\lambda s) \|T(s-L_n)z - T(0)z\| ds \\
&\quad + \lambda \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{(k+1)L_n}^{(k+2)L_n} \exp(-\lambda s) \|T(L_n)z - T(s)z\| ds \\
&\leq \lambda \int_0^{L_n-\delta} \exp(-\lambda s) M_n ds \\
&\quad + \lambda \int_{L_n-\delta}^{L_n} \exp(-\lambda s) M_n/2 ds
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \lambda \int_{L_n}^{2L_n} \exp(-\lambda s) M_n ds \\
& + \lambda \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{(k+1)L_n}^{(k+2)L_n} \exp(-\lambda s) (k+1) M_n ds \\
& = (1 - \exp(-\lambda(L_n - \delta))) M_n \\
& \quad + (\exp(-\lambda(L_n - \delta)) - \exp(-\lambda L_n)) M_n / 2 \\
& \quad + (\exp(-\lambda L_n) - \exp(-\lambda 2L_n)) M_n \\
& \quad + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\exp(-\lambda(k+1)L_n) - \exp(-\lambda(k+2)L_n)) (k+1) M_n \\
& = M_n - (\exp(-\lambda(L_n - \delta)) + \exp(-\lambda L_n)) M_n / 2 \\
& \quad + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \exp(-\lambda k L_n) M_n \\
& = M_n - (\exp(-\lambda(L_n - \delta)) + \exp(-\lambda L_n)) M_n / 2 \\
& \quad + \frac{\exp(-\lambda L_n)}{1 - \exp(-\lambda L_n)} M_n,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$0 \leq -\exp(\lambda \delta) - 1 + \frac{2}{1 - \exp(-\lambda L_n)}.$$

Since $\limsup_n L_n = \infty$, we obtain $0 \leq -\exp(\lambda \delta) + 1$. This is a contradiction. \square

Similarly, we can prove a characterization for single nonexpansive mappings.

THEOREM 6. *Let T be a nonexpansive mapping on a subset C of a Banach space E . Define a nonexpansive mapping S from C into E by*

$$Sx = (1 - r) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r^{n-1} T^n x$$

for $x \in C$, where $r \in (0, 1)$. Then $F(S) = F(T)$ holds.

PROOF. S is nonexpansive because

$$\begin{aligned}\|Sx - Sy\| &\leq (1-r) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r^{n-1} \|T^n x - T^n y\| \\ &\leq (1-r) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r^{n-1} \|x - y\| = \|x - y\|\end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in C$. It is obvious that $F(S) \supset F(T)$. Let us prove the converse inclusion. Let $z \in C$ be a fixed point of S . Arguing by contradiction, we assume that z is not a fixed point of T . We put $T^0 z = z$. We also put

$$M_n = \max\{\|T^j z - z\| : 1 \leq j \leq n\}$$

for $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $M = \sup_n M_n$. We note $M \geq M_n \geq M_1 > 0$. For every $n \in \mathbf{N}$, we choose $L_n \in \mathbf{N}$ satisfying $L_n \leq n$ and $M_n = \|T^{L_n} z - z\|$. We consider the following two cases:

A. $\limsup_n L_n < \infty$

B. $\limsup_n L_n = \infty$

(Case A) We put $L = \limsup_n L_n$. Then it is obvious that $\|T^L z - z\| = M$. For every $n \in \mathbf{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}M &= \|T^L z - z\| \\ &= \|T^L z - Sz\| \\ &\leq (1-r) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} r^{k-1} \|T^L z - T^k z\| \\ &\leq (1-r) \sum_{k=1}^{L-1} r^{k-1} \|T^{L-k} z - z\| + (1-r) \sum_{k=L+1}^{\infty} r^{k-1} \|T^{k-L} z - z\| \\ &\leq (1-r) \sum_{k=1}^{L-1} r^{k-1} M + (1-r) \sum_{k=L+1}^{\infty} r^{k-1} M \\ &= (1-r^{L-1})M + r^L M \\ &< M.\end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction.

(Case B) For $k, \ell, n \in \mathbf{N}$ with $1 \leq \ell \leq L_n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|T^{(k+1)L_n + \ell} z - T^{L_n} z\| &\leq \|T^{kL_n + \ell} z - z\| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^k \|T^{jL_n} z - T^{(j-1)L_n} z\| + \|T^{kL_n + \ell} z - T^{kL_n} z\|\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \sum_{j=1}^k \|T^{L_n} z - z\| + \|T^{\ell} z - z\| \\
&\leq (k+1)M_n.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
M_n &= \|T^{L_n} z - z\| \\
&= \|T^{L_n} z - Sz\| \\
&\leq (1-r) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} r^{k-1} \|T^{L_n} z - T^k z\| \\
&\leq (1-r) \sum_{k=1}^{L_n-1} r^{k-1} \|T^{L_n-k} z - z\| + (1-r) \sum_{k=L_n+1}^{2L_n} r^{k-1} \|T^{k-L_n} z - z\| \\
&\quad + (1-r) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{L_n} r^{(i+1)L_n+j-1} \|T^{L_n} z - T^{(i+1)L_n+j} z\| \\
&\leq (1-r) \sum_{k=1}^{L_n-1} r^{k-1} M_n + (1-r) \sum_{k=L_n+1}^{2L_n} r^{k-1} M_n \\
&\quad + (1-r) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{L_n} r^{(i+1)L_n+j-1} (i+1) M_n \\
&= M_n \left(1 - r^{L_n-1} + r^{L_n} - r^{2L_n} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (r^{(i+1)L_n} - r^{(i+2)L_n}) (i+1) \right) \\
&= M_n \left(1 - r^{L_n-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} r^{iL_n} \right) \\
&= M_n \left(1 - r^{L_n-1} + \frac{r^{L_n}}{1-r^{L_n}} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$0 \leq -r^{-1} + \frac{1}{1-r^{L_n}}.$$

Since $\limsup_n L_n = \infty$, we obtain $0 \leq -r^{-1} + 1$. This is a contradiction. \square

References

- [1] J. B. Baillon, Quelques aspects de la théorie des points fixes dans les espaces de Banach. I, II. (in French), Séminaire d'Analyse Fonctionnelle (1978–1979), Exp. No. 7–8, 45 pp., École Polytech., Palaiseau, 1979.
- [2] F. E. Browder, Fixed-point theorems for noncompact mappings in Hilbert space, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA, **53** (1965), 1272–1276.
- [3] ———, Nonexpansive nonlinear operators in a Banach space, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA, **54** (1965), 1041–1044.
- [4] ———, Convergence of approximants to fixed points of nonexpansive nonlinear mappings in Banach spaces, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., **24** (1967), 82–90.
- [5] R. E. Bruck, Nonexpansive retracts of Banach spaces, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **76** (1970), 384–386.
- [6] ———, A common fixed point theorem for a commuting family of nonexpansive mappings, Pacific J. Math., **53** (1974), 59–71.
- [7] D. Göhde, Zum Prinzip der kontraktiven Abbildung, Math. Nachr., **30** (1965), 251–258.
- [8] W. A. Kirk, A fixed point theorem for mappings which do not increase distances, Amer. Math. Monthly, **72** (1965), 1004–1006.
- [9] Z. Opial, Weak convergence of the sequence of successive approximations for nonexpansive mappings, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **73** (1967), 591–597.
- [10] S. Reich, Asymptotic behavior of contractions in Banach spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **44** (1973), 57–70.
- [11] ———, Strong convergence theorems for resolvents of accretive operators in Banach spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **75** (1980), 287–292.
- [12] T. Suzuki, Strong convergence theorem to common fixed points of two nonexpansive mappings in general Banach spaces, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal., **3** (2002), 381–391.
- [13] ———, On strong convergence to common fixed points of nonexpansive semigroups in Hilbert spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **131** (2003), 2133–2136.
- [14] ———, Common fixed points of two nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., **69** (2004), 1–18.
- [15] ———, Some remarks on the set of common fixed points of one-parameter semigroups of nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces with the Opial property, Nonlinear Anal., **58** (2004), 441–458.
- [16] ———, An example for a one-parameter nonexpansive semigroup, Abstr. Appl. Anal., **2005** (2005), 173–183.
- [17] ———, Characterizations of fixed points of nonexpansive mappings, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., **2005** (2005), 1723–1735.
- [18] ———, The set of common fixed points of an n -parameter continuous semigroup of mappings, Nonlinear Anal., **63** (2005), 1180–1190.
- [19] ———, The set of common fixed points of a one-parameter continuous semigroup of mappings is $F(T(1)) \cap F(T(\sqrt{2}))$, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **134** (2006), 673–681.
- [20] ———, Common fixed points of one-parameter nonexpansive semigroups in strictly convex Banach spaces, Abstr. Appl. Anal., **2006** (2006), Article ID 58684, 1–10.
- [21] ———, Characterizations of common fixed points of one-parameter nonexpansive semigroups, and convergence theorems to common fixed points, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **324** (2006), 1006–1019.
- [22] ———, Common fixed points of one-parameter nonexpansive semigroups, Bull. London Math. Soc., **38** (2006), 1009–1018.
- [23] ———, Browder's type convergence theorems for one-parameter semigroups of nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces, Israel J. Math., **157** (2007), 239–257.

- [24] ———, Some notes on Bauschke's condition, to appear in *Nonlinear Anal.*
- [25] ———, Common fixed points of commutative semigroups of nonexpansive mappings, submitted. arXiv math.FA/0404428 v1 23 Apr 2004.
- [26] T. Suzuki and W. Takahashi, Strong convergence of Mann's type sequences for one-parameter nonexpansive semigroups in general Banach spaces, *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.*, **5** (2004), 209–216.
- [27] W. Takahashi, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis*, Yokohama Publishers, Yokohama, 2000.

Department of Mathematics
Kyushu Institute of Technology
Sensuicho, Tobata, Kitakyushu 804-8550, Japan
E-mail address: suzuki-t@mns.kyutech.ac.jp