

# ALBANESE KERNEL OF THE PRODUCT OF CURVES OVER A $p$ -ADIC FIELD

Toshiro HIRANOUCI

## Abstract

In this short note, we investigate the image of the Kummer map associated to an abelian variety over a  $p$ -adic field. As a byproduct, we give the structure of the Albanese kernel of the product of curves over a  $p$ -adic field under some assumptions. The result has already known by E. Gazaki [1], but the proof is completely different.

## 1. Introduction

In this note, we compute the Albanese kernel for the product of curves over  $k$  as a generalization of [10]. Precisely, we use the following notation: For  $i = 1, 2$ ,

- $X_i$ : a smooth projective curve over  $k$  with  $k$ -rational point  $X_i(k) \neq \emptyset$ , and
- $J_i := \text{Jac}(X_i)$ : the Jacobian variety associated to  $X_i$  of dimension  $g_i$ .

The kernel of the degree map  $\deg : \text{CH}_0(X_1 \times X_2) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$  is denoted by  $A_0(X_1 \times X_2)$ . The kernel  $T(X_1 \times X_2)$  of the Albanese map

$$\text{alb} : A_0(X_1 \times X_2) \rightarrow \text{Alb}_{X_1 \times X_2}(k) = J_1(k) \oplus J_2(k)$$

which is called the **Albanese kernel** is also written by the Somekawa  $K$ -group associated to  $J_1$  and  $J_2$  as

$$T(X_1 \times X_2) \simeq K(k; J_1, J_2)$$

([7]). From the same computation as in [10], Theorem 4.1, we recover the following theorem which is proved in [1], Corollary 8.9:

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Assume that the Jacobian varieties  $J_1$  and  $J_2$  satisfy*

**(Ord)**  $J_i$  has good ordinary reduction, and

**(Rat)**  $J_i[p^n] \subset J_i(k)$ .

*Then, we have*

$$T(X_1 \times X_2)/p^n \simeq (\mathbf{Z}/p^n)^{\oplus g_1 g_2}.$$

Note that the condition **(Rat)** implies that  $\mu_p \subset k$  and hence the ramification index of  $k$  is  $\geq p - 1$ . On the contrary, even in the case where  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are elliptic curves, it is known that  $T(X_1 \times X_2)/p^n = 0$  for all  $n$  when  $k$  is unramified over  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  ([3]).

## Notation

Throughout this note, we use the following notation:

- $k$ : a finite extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ .

For a finite extension  $K/k$ , we define

- $O_K$ : the valuation ring of  $K$  with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}_K$ ,
- $\mathbf{F}_K = O_K/\mathfrak{m}_K$ : the residue field of  $K$ , and
- $U_K = O_K^\times$ : the unit group.

For an abelian group  $G$  and  $m \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1}$ , we write  $G[m]$  and  $G/m$  for the kernel and cokernel of the multiplication by  $m$  on  $G$  respectively.

**Acknowledgements.** This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 20K03536.

## 2. Mackey functors

DEFINITION 2.1 (*cf.* [7], Sect. 3). A **Mackey functor**  $\mathcal{M}$  (over  $k$ ) (or a  $G_k$ -**modulation** in the sense of [6], Def. 1.5.10) is a contravariant functor from the category of étale schemes over  $k$  to the category of abelian groups equipped with a covariant structure for finite morphisms such that

$$\mathcal{M}(X_1 \sqcup X_2) = \mathcal{M}(X_1) \oplus \mathcal{M}(X_2)$$

and if

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{g'} & X \\ f' \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ Y' & \xrightarrow{g} & Y \end{array}$$

is a Cartesian diagram, then the induced diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}(X') & \xrightarrow{g'_*} & \mathcal{M}(X) \\ f'^* \uparrow & & \uparrow f^* \\ \mathcal{M}(Y') & \xrightarrow{g_*} & \mathcal{M}(Y) \end{array}$$

commutes.

For a Mackey functor  $\mathcal{M}$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{M}(K)$  its value  $\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{Spec}(K))$  for a field extension  $K$  of  $k$ . For any finite extensions  $k \subset K \subset L$ , the induced homomorphisms from the canonical map  $j : \mathrm{Spec}(L) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(K)$  are denoted by

$$N_{L/K} := j_* : \mathcal{M}(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(K), \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{Res}_{L/K} := j^* : \mathcal{M}(K) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(L).$$

The category of Mackey functors over  $k$  forms an abelian category with the following tensor product:

DEFINITION 2.2 (cf. [4]). For Mackey functors  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$ , their **Mackey product**  $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}$  is defined as follows: For any finite field extension  $k'/k$ ,

$$(1) \quad (\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N})(k') := \left( \bigoplus_{K/k': \text{finite}} \mathcal{M}(K) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathcal{N}(K) \right) / (\mathbf{PF}),$$

where  $(\mathbf{PF})$  stands for the subgroup generated by elements of the following form:

(PF) For finite field extensions  $k' \subset K \subset L$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} N_{L/K}(x) \otimes y - x \otimes \text{Res}_{L/K}(y) & \quad \text{for } x \in \mathcal{M}(L), y \in \mathcal{N}(K), \quad \text{and} \\ x \otimes N_{L/K}(y) - \text{Res}_{L/K}(x) \otimes y & \quad \text{for } x \in \mathcal{M}(K), y \in \mathcal{N}(L). \end{aligned}$$

For the Mackey product  $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}$ , we denote by  $\{x, y\}_{K/k'}$  the image of  $x \otimes y \in \mathcal{M}(K) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathcal{N}(K)$  in the product  $(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N})(k')$ . For any finite field extension  $k'/k$ , the push-forward

$$(2) \quad N_{k'/k} = j_* : (\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N})(k') \rightarrow (\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N})(k)$$

is given by  $N_{k'/k}(\{x, y\}_{K/k'}) = \{x, y\}_{K/k}$ . For each  $m \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1}$ , we define a Mackey functor  $\mathcal{M}/m$  by

$$(3) \quad (\mathcal{M}/m)(K) := \mathcal{M}(K)/m$$

for any finite extension  $K/k$ . We have

$$(\mathcal{M}/m \otimes \mathcal{N}/m)(k) \simeq (\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N})(k)/m = ((\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N})/m)(k) \quad (\text{cf. (3)}).$$

Every  $G_k$ -module  $M$  defines a Mackey functor defined by the fixed sub module  $M(K) := M^{\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/K)}$  which is also denoted by  $M$ . Conversely, assume a Mackey functor  $\mathcal{M}$  satisfies **Galois descent**, meaning that, for every finite Galois extension  $L/K$ , the restriction

$$\text{Res}_{L/K} : \mathcal{M}(K) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{M}(L)^{\text{Gal}(L/K)}$$

is an isomorphism. For any  $m \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1}$ , the connecting homomorphism associated to the short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}[m] \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{m} \mathcal{M} \rightarrow 0$  as  $G_k$ -modules gives

$$(4) \quad \delta_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{M}(K)/m \hookrightarrow H^1(K, \mathcal{M}[m])$$

which is often called the **Kummer map**.

DEFINITION 2.3 (cf. [9], Prop. 1.5). For Mackey functors  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  with Galois descent, the **Galois symbol map**

$$(5) \quad s_m^M : (\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N})(k)/m \rightarrow H^2(k, \mathcal{M}[m] \otimes \mathcal{N}[m])$$

is defined by the cup product and the corestriction as follows:

$$s_m^M(\{x, y\}_{K/k}) = \text{Cor}_{K/k}(\delta_{\mathcal{M}}(x) \cup \delta_{\mathcal{N}}(y)).$$

### 3. Galois symbol map

Let  $A$  be an abelian variety of dimension  $g$  over  $k$ . We assume that

(Ord)  $A$  has good ordinary reduction, and

(Rat)  $A[p^n] \subset A(k)$ .

We denote by  $\hat{A}$  the formal group over  $O_k$  of  $A$ . Let  $k^{\text{ur}}$  be the completion of the maximal unramified extension of  $k$ . It is known that we have  $\hat{A} \times_{O_k} \text{Spf}(O_{k^{\text{ur}}}) \simeq (\hat{\mathbf{G}}_m)^{\oplus g}$ , where  $\hat{\mathbf{G}}_m$  is the multiplicative group ([5], Lem. 4.26, Lem. 4.27). Since we have  $A[p^n] \subset A(k)$ ,  $\hat{A}[p^n] \subset \hat{A}(k) =: \hat{A}(\mathfrak{m}_k)$  and hence we obtain isomorphisms

$$(6) \quad \hat{A}[p^n] = \hat{A}(k^{\text{ur}})[p^n] \simeq ((\hat{\mathbf{G}}_m)(k^{\text{ur}})[p^n])^{\oplus g} \simeq (\mu_{p^n})^{\oplus g}.$$

Now, we choose an isomorphism

$$(7) \quad A[p^n] \xrightarrow{\simeq} (\mu_{p^n})^{\oplus 2g}$$

of (trivial) Galois modules which makes the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \hat{A}[p^n] & \hookrightarrow & A[p^n] \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\ (\mu_{p^n})^{\oplus g} & \xrightarrow{(\text{id}, 1)} & (\mu_{p^n})^{\oplus g} \oplus (\mu_{p^n})^{\oplus g}, \end{array}$$

where the left vertical map is given in (6), and the bottom horizontal map is defined by

$$(\mu_{p^n})^{\oplus g} \rightarrow (\mu_{p^n})^{\oplus 2g}; \quad (x_1, \dots, x_g) \mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_g, 1, \dots, 1).$$

By the same proof of [2], Prop. 3.1, one can determine the image of the Kummer map as follows:

**PROPOSITION 3.1.** *For any finite extension  $K/k$ , the image of the Kummer map*

$$\delta_A : A(K)/p^n \rightarrow H^1(K, A[p^n]) \simeq H^1(K, \mu_{p^n}^{\oplus 2g}) \simeq (K^\times/p^n)^{\oplus 2g}$$

*coincides with*

$$(\bar{U}_K)^{\oplus g} \oplus \text{Ker}(j : K^\times/p^n \rightarrow (K^{\text{ur}})^\times/p^n)^{\oplus g},$$

where  $\bar{U}_K$  is the image of  $U_K = O_K^\times$  in  $K^\times/p$ , and  $j$  is the map induced from the inclusion  $K^\times \hookrightarrow (K^{\text{ur}})^\times$ .

The above isomorphism is extended to the isomorphism

$$(8) \quad A/p^n \simeq \mathcal{U}^{\oplus g} \oplus \mathcal{V}^{\oplus g}$$

of Mackey functors, where  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  are the sub Mackey functors of  $\mathbf{G}_m/p^n$  defined by

$$\mathcal{U}(K) := \text{Im}(U_K \rightarrow K^\times/p^n) = \bar{U}_K, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{V}(K) := \text{Ker}(j : K^\times/p^n \rightarrow (K^{\text{ur}})^\times/p^n),$$

for any finite extension  $K/k$  (cf. [2], Cor. 3.4).

#### 4. Proof of Thm. 1.1

We show Thm. 1.1. From  $K(k; J_1, J_2) \simeq T(X_1 \times X_2)$  (as noted in Introduction), it is enough to prove  $K(k; J_1, J_2)/p^n \simeq (\mathbf{Z}/p^n)^{\oplus g_1 g_2}$ . Applying (8) in the last section to  $J_i$ , we have  $J_i/p^n \simeq (\mathcal{U} \oplus \mathcal{V})^{\oplus g_i}$  after fixing  $J_i[p^n] \simeq (\mu_{p^n})^{\oplus 2g_i}$  as in (7). We have

$$J_1/p^n \otimes J_2/p^n \simeq ((\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{U}) \oplus (\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{V}) \oplus (\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{U}) \oplus (\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{V}))^{\oplus g_1 g_2}.$$

The Galois symbol maps give the following commutative diagram:

$$(9) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \xrightarrow{s_{p^n}^M} & \\ & \searrow & \\ (J_1/p^n \otimes J_2/p^n)(k) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & K(k; J_1, J_2)/p^n \xrightarrow{s_{p^n}} H^2(k, J_1[p^n] \otimes J_2[p^n]) \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\ (\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{U})(k)^{\oplus g_1 g_2} & & H^2(k, \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes 2})^{\oplus 4g_1 g_2} \\ \oplus & \nearrow & \\ (\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{V})(k)^{\oplus 2g_1 g_2} & & \\ \oplus & & \\ (\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{V})(k)^{\oplus g_1 g_2} & & \end{array}$$

Here,  $s_{p^n} : K(k; J_1, J_2)/p^n \rightarrow H^2(k, J_1[p^n] \otimes J_2[p^n])$  is injective ([7], Rem. 4.5.8 (b)), and the bottom map is the direct sum of the three kind of maps given by the composing the Galois symbol map after the natural maps  $(\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{U})(k) \rightarrow (\mathbf{G}_m/p^n \otimes \mathbf{G}_m/p^n)(k)$ ,  $(\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{V})(k) \rightarrow (\mathbf{G}_m/p^n \otimes \mathbf{G}_m/p^n)(k)$ , or  $(\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{V})(k) \rightarrow (\mathbf{G}_m/p^n \otimes \mathbf{G}_m/p^n)(k)$ . Precisely,

$$\begin{aligned} s_1 : (\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{U})(k) &\rightarrow (\mathbf{G}_m/p^n \otimes \mathbf{G}_m/p^n)(k) \xrightarrow{s_{p^n}^M} H^2(k, \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes 2}), \\ s_2 : (\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{V})(k) &\rightarrow (\mathbf{G}_m/p^n \otimes \mathbf{G}_m/p^n)(k) \xrightarrow{s_{p^n}^M} H^2(k, \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes 2}), \quad \text{and} \\ s_3 : (\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{V})(k) &\rightarrow (\mathbf{G}_m/p^n \otimes \mathbf{G}_m/p^n)(k) \xrightarrow{s_{p^n}^M} H^2(k, \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes 2}). \end{aligned}$$

The image of the maps  $s_i$  are computed as follows:

LEMMA 4.1. (i) *The map  $s_1$  is surjective.*  
(ii) *The image of  $s_2$  and  $s_3$  are trivial.*

PROOF. (i) The Galois symbol map  $s_{p^n}^M : (\mathbf{G}_m/p^n \otimes \mathbf{G}_m/p^n)(k) \rightarrow H^2(k, \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes 2})$  is written by the Hilbert symbol ([8], Chap. XIV, Sect. 2, Prop. 5) as the following commutative diagram indicates:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 (\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{U})(k) & \longrightarrow & (\mathbf{G}_m/p^n \otimes \mathbf{G}_m/p^n)(k) & \xrightarrow{s_{p^n}^M} & H^2(k, \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes 2}) \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \text{Cor}_{K/k} \\
 \bigoplus_{K/k} \mathcal{U}(K) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathcal{U}(K) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{K/k} K^\times/p^n \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} K^\times/p^n & \xrightarrow{\delta \cup \delta} & \bigoplus_{K/k} H^2(K, \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes 2}) \\
 & \searrow \text{dotted} & & \searrow (-, -) & \uparrow \simeq \\
 & & & & \bigoplus_{K/k} \mu_{p^n}
 \end{array}$$

where  $(-, -) : K^\times \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} K^\times \rightarrow \mu_{p^n}$  is the Hilbert symbol. For each finite extension  $K/k$ , the Hilbert symbol from  $\mathcal{U}(K) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathcal{U}(K)$  (the dotted arrow in the above diagram) is surjective ([10], Prop. 2.5) so is  $s_1$ .

(ii) By the same reasons as in (i), the image of  $\mathcal{U}(K) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathcal{V}(K)$  and  $\mathcal{V}(K) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathcal{V}(K)$  by the Hilbert symbol is trivial and hence  $\text{Im}(s_1) = \text{Im}(s_2) = 0$  in  $H^2(k, \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes 2})$ .  $\square$

Recall that we have  $H^2(k, \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes 2}) \simeq \mathbf{Z}/p^n$ . From the above diagram (9), the above lemma implies

$$s_{p^n}^M((J_1/p^n \otimes J_2/p^n)(k)) \simeq K(k; J_1, J_2)/p^n \simeq (\mathbf{Z}/p^n)^{\oplus g_1 g_2}.$$

## References

- [1] E. Gazaki, A finer Tate duality theorem for local Galois symbols, *J. Algebra* **509** (2018), 337–385.
- [2] T. Hiranouchi, Galois symbol maps for abelian varieties over a  $p$ -adic field, *Acta Arith.* **197** (2021), no. 2, 137–157.
- [3] T. Hiranouchi and E. Gazaki, Divisibility results for zero-cycles, *arXiv:2004.05255 [math.AG]*.
- [4] B. Kahn, The decomposable part of motivic cohomology and bijectivity of the norm residue homomorphism, *Algebraic K-theory, commutative algebra, and algebraic geometry* (Santa Margherita Ligure, 1989), *Contemp. Math.*, vol. 126, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1992, pp. 79–87.
- [5] B. Mazur, Rational points of abelian varieties with values in towers of number fields, *Invent. Math.* **18** (1972), 183–266.
- [6] J. Neukirch, A. Schmidt, and K. Wingberg, *Cohomology of number fields*, second ed., *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*, vol. 323, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008.
- [7] W. Raskind and M. Spiess, Milnor  $K$ -groups and zero-cycles on products of curves over  $p$ -adic fields, *Compositio Math.* **121** (2000), 1–33.

- [ 8 ] J.-P. Serre, *Corps locaux*, Hermann, Paris, 1968, Deuxième édition, Publications de l'Université de Nancago, No. VIII.
- [ 9 ] M. Somekawa, On Milnor  $K$ -groups attached to semi-abelian varieties, *K-Theory* **4** (1990), no. 2, 105–119.
- [10] T. Takemoto, Zero-cycles on products of elliptic curves over  $p$ -adic fields, *Acta Arith.* **149** (2011), no. 3, 201–214.

*(T. Hiranouchi)*  
*Graduate School of Engineering*  
*Kyushu Institute of Technology*  
*Tobata, Kitakyushu 804-8550, Japan*  
*E-mail: hira@mns.kyutech.ac.jp*

