Click here to view linked References

1		
2 3 4 5	1	Compositional dependence of the apatite formation ability of Ti-Zr
6 7 8	2	alloys designed for hard tissue reconstruction
9 10 11 12	3	
13 14 15	4	Toshiki Miyazaki ^a , Tomoya Hosokawa ^a , Ken'ichi Yokoyama ^b , Takanobu Shiraishi ^c
16 17 18 19	5	
20 21 22	6	^a Graduate School of Life Science and System Engineering, Kyushu Institute of
23 24 25 26	7	Technology, Kitakyushu, Japan
27 28 29	8	^b Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Kyushu Institute of Technology,
30 31 32 33	9	Kitakyushu, Japan
34 35 36	10	^c Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences, Nagasaki University, Nagasaki, Japan
37 38 39 40	11	
41 42 43	12	Corresponding author: Toshiki Miyazaki
44 45 46 47	13	Graduate School of Life Science and Systems Engineering, Kyushu Institute of
48 49 50	14	Technology, 2-4, Hibikino, Wakamatsu-ku, Kitakyushu 808-0196, Japan
51 52 53 54	15	Tel./Fax: +81-93-695-6025
55 56 57	16	E-mail: tmiya@life.kyutech.ac.jp
58 59 60 61	17	
62 63 64		1

ŧ

1 Abstract

Ti-Zr alloys are expected to be novel biomaterials with low stress shielding owing to their lower Young's moduli than pure Ti. The drawback of metallic biomaterials is that their bone-bonding abilities are relatively low. NaOH and heat treatments have been performed to provide Ti-50Zr with apatite-forming ability in the body environment, which is essential for bone bonding. However, the systematic compositional dependence of apatite formation has not been revealed. In the present study, NaOH treatment of Ti-Zr alloys with various compositions and bone-bonding abilities was assessed in vitro by apatite formation in simulated body fluid (SBF). The corrosion current density in NaOH aqueous solution and the amount of Na incorporated into the surface tended to decrease with increasing Zr content. The apatite-forming ability of the treated alloy significantly decreased when the Zr content was ≥ 60 atom%. This phenomenon is attributed to the (1) low OH content on the surface, (2) low Na incorporation into the treated alloy surface, which enhances apatite formation, and (3) low ability of P adsorption to the Ti-Zr alloy in SBF following Ca adsorption to trigger apatite nucleation. Although the adhesion of the titanate/zirconate layer formed on the surfaces

1	to the substrates increased as Zr content increased, the adhesion between the apatite and
2	the substrate was still low.
3	Keywords: Ti-Zr alloy, NaOH treatment, Apatite, Simulated body fluid, Hard tissue
4	reconstruction
5	
6	Running Heads: Compositional dependence of the apatite formation ability
7	

1. Introduction

2	Because metallic materials, such as Ti and its alloys, have high mechanical
3	strength and fracture toughness, they are clinically applied to repair hard tissues, such as
4	bone and joints, under high loaded conditions [1]. However, they have the drawback of
5	poor bone-bonding ability leading to low long-term stability of fixation [2]. Formation
6	of bone-like apatite in a body fluid environment is necessary for the material to bond to
7	bone [3]. This type of apatite formation is known to be reproduced even in simulated
8	body fluid (SBF) that mimics the human body fluid composition [4]. Various surface
9	modifications of Ti, such as NaOH treatment [5], hydrogen peroxide treatment [6],
10	anodic oxidation [7], and hydrothermal treatment [8,9], have been proposed to improve
11	the bone-bonding ability of Ti.
12	Ti–Zr alloys are expected to be novel biomaterials with low stress shielding owing
13	to their lower Young's moduli than pure Ti. It has mechanical strength comparable to
14	commercialized Ti-29Nb-13Ta-4.6Zr alloy with low Young's moduli [10,11]. Also,
15	Ti–Zr binary system gives solid solution at any composition [12], therefore the galvanic
16	corrosion in body environment can be suppressed. Shiraishi et al. [13] fabricated Ti–Zr

1	alloys with various compositions and evaluated their mechanical properties, and they
2	found that the Young's modulus decreases to 90 GPa at Ti-60 atom% Zr. It has also
3	been reported that pure Zr [14] and Ti-50 atom% Zr [15,16] subjected to NaOH
4	treatment (and subsequent heat treatment for some metals) shows apatite-forming
5	ability in SBF. However, the systematic compositional dependence of apatite formation
6	has not been reported.
7	In this study, Ti-Zr alloys with different compositions were treated with NaOH
8	aqueous solution, and their apatite-formation abilities were investigated in vitro using
9	SBF. The surface structural changes caused by NaOH treatment were analyzed
10	spectroscopically and electrochemically, and their affect on apatite formation was
11	investigated.
12	
13	2. Materials and methods
14	2.1. Specimen preparation
15	The Ti-Zr alloys, as well as pure Ti and Zr, were prepared by the arc-melting
16	method. NaOH and the reagents used to prepare SBF were purchased from Nacalai
	5

1	Tesque Inc. (Kyoto, Japan). NH ₄ Cl, ZnCl ₂ , NH ₃ , and HNO ₃ aqueous solutions were
2	purchased from FUJIFILM Wako Pure Chemical Co. (Osaka, Japan).
3	The alloy substrates with dimensions of 5 mm \times 5 mm \times 1 mm were polished
4	with #500 SiC paper. Hereafter, the Ti–Zr alloys containing x atom% Zr are denoted
5	Ti– xZr ($x = 20-80$). Each substrate was then soaked in 5 mL of 5 M NaOH aqueous
6	solution and shaken in a water bath (H-10, Taitec Co., Saitama, Japan) at 60 °C and 120
7	strokes/min for 1 day. The substrates were then removed from solution, gently washed
8	with ultrapure water, and dried at 60 $^{\circ}$ C.
9	
10	2.2. Soaking in SBF
11	The treated substrates were soaked in 30 mL of SBF containing 142.0 mM Na ⁺ ,
11 12	The treated substrates were soaked in 30 mL of SBF containing 142.0 mM Na ⁺ , 5.0 mM K ⁺ , 2.5 mM Mg ²⁺ , 147.8 mM Cl ⁻ , 4.2 mM HCO ₃ ⁻ , 1.0 mM HPO ₄ ²⁻ , and 0.5
11 12 13	The treated substrates were soaked in 30 mL of SBF containing 142.0 mM Na ⁺ , 5.0 mM K ⁺ , 2.5 mM Mg ²⁺ , 147.8 mM Cl ⁻ , 4.2 mM HCO ₃ ⁻ , 1.0 mM HPO ₄ ²⁻ , and 0.5 mM SO ₄ ²⁻ at 36.5 °C for various periods. The pH of the solution was buffered at 7.40
 11 12 13 14 	The treated substrates were soaked in 30 mL of SBF containing 142.0 mM Na ⁺ , 5.0 mM K ⁺ , 2.5 mM Mg ²⁺ , 147.8 mM Cl ⁻ , 4.2 mM HCO ₃ ⁻ , 1.0 mM HPO ₄ ²⁻ , and 0.5 mM SO ₄ ²⁻ at 36.5 °C for various periods. The pH of the solution was buffered at 7.40 by 50 mM tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane and an appropriate amount of HCl. The
 11 12 13 14 15 	The treated substrates were soaked in 30 mL of SBF containing 142.0 mM Na ⁺ , 5.0 mM K ⁺ , 2.5 mM Mg ²⁺ , 147.8 mM Cl ⁻ , 4.2 mM HCO ₃ ⁻ , 1.0 mM HPO ₄ ²⁻ , and 0.5 mM SO ₄ ²⁻ at 36.5 °C for various periods. The pH of the solution was buffered at 7.40 by 50 mM tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane and an appropriate amount of HCl. The SBF was prepared according to the literature [4]. After soaking, the substrates were
 11 12 13 14 15 16 	The treated substrates were soaked in 30 mL of SBF containing 142.0 mM Na ⁺ , 5.0 mM K ⁺ , 2.5 mM Mg ²⁺ , 147.8 mM Cl ⁻ , 4.2 mM HCO ₃ ⁻ , 1.0 mM HPO ₄ ²⁻ , and 0.5 mM SO ₄ ²⁻ at 36.5 °C for various periods. The pH of the solution was buffered at 7.40 by 50 mM tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane and an appropriate amount of HCl. The SBF was prepared according to the literature [4]. After soaking, the substrates were removed from the SBF and then subjected to ultrasonic cleaning with ultrapure water

1 for 30 min to remove excess water-soluble salts on their surfaces.

2.3. Characterization The surface structural changes of the substrates were characterized by scanning electron microscopy (SEM, Model S-3500N, Hitachi Co., Tokyo, Japan), energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX, Model EX-400, Horiba Co., Kyoto, Japan), and thin-film X-ray diffraction (TF-XRD, MXP3V, Mac Science Ltd., Yokohama, Japan). In the TF-XRD experiments, CuKa X-ray with voltage and current of 30 kV and 40 mA, respectively, was used and the incident beam was fixed at 1° to the surface of each substrate and the scan rate was $0.02^{\circ} \cdot s^{-1}$. In EDX, the atomic ratio of each element was calculated from the peak area in the EDX spectrum by using ZAF correction method. Three points were measured for each specimen. Specimens for cross-sectional observation were prepared by embedding in light-curing resin (Technovit 4071, KulZer GmbH, Hanau, Germany), cutting and polishing with #80, #120, #240, #500 and #1000 SiC paper. Rate of corrosion corresponding to surface oxide formation was evaluated by

1	polarization test. It was performed in 1 M NaOH aqueous solution using a potentiostat
2	(HA-151A, Hokuto Denko Co., Tokyo, Japan) equipped with a saturated calomel
3	electrode as the reference electrode and a Pt electrode as the counter electrode [17]. The
4	specimens were embedded in acrylic resin and the cross-section was abraded with #120,
5	#240, and #500 SiC paper. In the polarization test, the sample was first immersed in 1
6	M NaOH aqueous solution for 10 min, and the approximate corrosion potential was
7	measured. The specimens were then polarized from the corrosion potential to the anode
8	(+1.5 V) or cathode direction (-1.5 V) at a sweep rate of 20 mV min ⁻¹ . Measurement
9	was performed once for each specimen.
9 10	was performed once for each specimen. Surface OH formation by NaOH treatment is reported to govern the apatite
9 10 11	was performed once for each specimen. Surface OH formation by NaOH treatment is reported to govern the apatite formation in body environment [5]. Therefore, the concentration of surface OH groups
9 10 11 12	was performed once for each specimen. Surface OH formation by NaOH treatment is reported to govern the apatite formation in body environment [5]. Therefore, the concentration of surface OH groups was determined by formation of a zinc complex [18]. The Zn solution was prepared by
 9 10 11 12 13 	was performed once for each specimen. Surface OH formation by NaOH treatment is reported to govern the apatite formation in body environment [5]. Therefore, the concentration of surface OH groups was determined by formation of a zinc complex [18]. The Zn solution was prepared by mixing 500 mL of 4 M NH ₄ Cl and 250 mL of 0.4 M ZnCl ₂ , adjusting the pH to 6.9 with
 9 10 11 12 13 14 	was performed once for each specimen. Surface OH formation by NaOH treatment is reported to govern the apatite formation in body environment [5]. Therefore, the concentration of surface OH groups was determined by formation of a zinc complex [18]. The Zn solution was prepared by mixing 500 mL of 4 M NH4Cl and 250 mL of 0.4 M ZnCl ₂ , adjusting the pH to 6.9 with 25% NH ₃ aqueous solution, and finally adjusting the volume to 1000 mL. Each
 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 	was performed once for each specimen. Surface OH formation by NaOH treatment is reported to govern the apatite formation in body environment [5]. Therefore, the concentration of surface OH groups was determined by formation of a zinc complex [18]. The Zn solution was prepared by mixing 500 mL of 4 M NH ₄ Cl and 250 mL of 0.4 M ZnCl ₂ , adjusting the pH to 6.9 with 25% NH ₃ aqueous solution, and finally adjusting the volume to 1000 mL. Each specimen was soaked in 150 mL of the Zn solution for 5 min and washed with 150 mL
 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 	was performed once for each specimen. Surface OH formation by NaOH treatment is reported to govern the apatite formation in body environment [5]. Therefore, the concentration of surface OH groups was determined by formation of a zinc complex [18]. The Zn solution was prepared by mixing 500 mL of 4 M NH ₄ Cl and 250 mL of 0.4 M ZnCl ₂ , adjusting the pH to 6.9 with 25% NH ₃ aqueous solution, and finally adjusting the volume to 1000 mL. Each specimen was soaked in 150 mL of the Zn solution for 5 min and washed with 150 mL of ultrapure water for 10 min. The washing operation was repeated three times. Each

1	specimen was then dried for 1 h and soaked in 100 mL of 2.42 M HNO_3 aqueous
2	solution for 10 min. The Zn concentration in the HNO_3 solution was measured by
3	inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy (ICPE-9800, Shimadzu Co.,
4	Kyoto, Japan). The surface active OH concentration (C_{OH}) was calculated by the
5	following equation [18]:
6	$C_{\rm OH} = \frac{2C_{\rm Zn}VA}{S} \tag{1}$
7	where C_{Zn} , V , S , and A , are the Zn concentration in the HNO ₃ solution (mM), volume of
8	the HNO ₃ solution (L), surface area of the specimen (mm^2), and Avogadro number (6.02
9	$\times 10^{23}$), respectively. Measurement was performed three to six times for each specimen.
10	The adhesive strength of the apatite layer formed on the specimens was measured
11	by peeling-off test regulated in JIS K 5600. An adhesive tape (CT-15105P, NICHIBAN
12	Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) was attached on the specimens and detached. This operation
13	was repeated 5 times.
14	
15	3. Results
16	SEM images of the specimen surfaces before and after NaOH treatment are shown
	0

1	in Fig. 1. Before treatment, only polishing scratches were observed in any of the
2	specimens. After NaOH treatment, pure Ti and Ti-20Zr showed a network structure
3	composed of flake-like particles of less than 1 μ m in size. When the Zr content was 40
4	or 50 atom%, formation of a layer without a network structure was observed. Above 50
5	atom% Zr, no layer formed and polishing scratches were observed, similar to before
6	treatment.
7	The amounts of OH groups on the specimen surfaces before and after NaOH
8	treatment, which were determined by Zn complex formation method, are shown in Fig.
9	2. For the untreated specimens, the OH amount was about $1 \times 10^{16} \text{ mm}^{-2}$ irrespective of
10	the Zr content. In contrast, the OH amount on the NaOH-treated Ti specimen was about
11	4×10^{16} mm ⁻² and it tended to decrease with increasing Zr content. The OH amount of
12	Ti–20Zr was lower than that of Ti and Ti–40Zr. Detailed reason is not clear at present.
13	However, judging from the results that Na content is almost the same and morphology
14	of the former was a little different from the latter, difference in OH amount may be
15	attributed to specific surface area. When the Zr content was \geq 70 atom%, the OH amount
16	was almost the same as that of the untreated specimens.

1	The relationship between the Na/(Ti+Zr) ratio on the NaOH-treated specimen
2	surface and the Zr content, which were determined by EDX quantitative analyses, is
3	shown in Figure 3. The Na/(Ti+Zr) ratio was 0.12 for pure Ti. With increasing Zr
4	content, the Na/(Ti+Zr) ratio increased until 40 atom% Zr and then decreased. Na was
5	hardly detected at Zr content ≥ 80 atom%.
6	The relationship between the corrosion current density of the specimen in 1 M
7	NaOH aqueous solution and the Zr content is shown in Figure 4. The corrosion current
8	density monotonically decreased with increasing Zr content, indicating that the
9	corrosion resistance against NaOH increased.
10	The TF-XRD patterns of the untreated and NaOH-treated specimens are shown in
11	Fig. 5. Only the diffraction peaks assigned to the alloys were observed for the untreated
12	specimen. The diffraction angle shifted to lower angle with increasing Zr content. This
13	agrees with the Ti-Zr phase diagram showing an all-proportional solid solution [12].
14	After NaOH treatment, peaks assigned to crystalline zirconium titanate were only
15	observed for Ti–60Zr and Ti–70Zr.
16	SEM-EDX profiles of cross-sections of NaOH-treated specimens. in Fig. 6.

Concentration gradient of change Ti and Zr increased with increase in Zr content, suggesting that the thickness of the surface oxide layer is decreased. SEM images of the specimen surfaces after NaOH treatment and subsequent immersion in SBF for 7 days are shown in Fig. 7. Spherical particles formed on the samples with Zr content \leq 50 atom%. In the TF-XRD patterns of the specimens shown in Fig. 8, broad peaks assigned to poorly crystalline apatite (JCPDS#09-0432) are observed at $2\theta = 26^{\circ}$ and 32° for Zr content ≤ 50 atom%. This means that the formed spherical particles were poorly crystalline apatite. The (Ca or P)/(Ti+Zr) molar ratios of the specimen surfaces with Zr content of \geq 60 atom% after soaking in SBF for 7 days, where no apatite formed, are shown in Fig. 9. They were determined by EDX quantitative analyses. Both Ca and P were detected for 60 atom% Zr, while only Ca was detected for \geq 70 atom% Zr. Figure 10 shows SEM images of the specimen surfaces after NaOH treatment and subsequent immersion in SBF for 7 days, which were attached and detached with an adhesive tape 5 times. Surface titanate/zirconate layer as well as the apatite particles was peeled-off for pure Ti and 20 atom% Zr, while only the apatite particles for 40

1 atom% Zr and 50 atom% Zr.

4. Discussion The thickness of the surface reaction product significantly decreased at high Zr content (>50 atom% Zr). This is attributed to reduction of the reactivity against NaOH aqueous solution. This assumption is supported by the data showing reduction of the corrosion current and OH concentration (see Figs. 2 and 4). The surface phases on the alloys produced by NaOH treatment significantly varied depending on the Zr content. The surface phases on the alloys predicted from the data in Figs. 2, 3, and 5 are given in Table 1. According to the Pourbaix diagram, HTiO₃⁻ and HZrO₃⁻ are stable chemical species around pH 14.7, corresponding to 5 M NaOH, meaning that sodium (hydrogen) titanate/zirconate favorably forms [19]. However, the present result showing no Na incorporation into NaOH-treated Zr is different from that predicted from the Pourbaix diagram (see Fig. 3). It has been reported that although Na is observed on the top surface of Zr treated with 6.1 M NaOH at 50 °C by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), it disappears after Ar⁺ sputtering

However, from the fact that Zr has a significantly lower corrosion rate than Ti in NaOI solution [20], zirconia hydrogel would be the dominant phase. This is consistent with the report by Uchida <i>et al.</i> [14], where zirconia hydrogel formed after 20 M NaOI treatment. When the Zr content exceeded 50 atom%, the apatite-forming ability significantly decreased (see Figs. 7 and 8). From the result that the specimens formed with the zirconium titanate phase did not precipitate apatite, the zirconium titanate phase would not contribute to apatite formation. Ti–OH [21] and Zr–OH [22] groups trigge heterogeneous apatite nucleation in the body environment. Therefore, the decrease if the OH amount shown in Fig. 2 would suppress apatite formation. In addition, for NaOH-treated Ti, Na ⁺ released from the surface sodium titanate is known to increase the pH of SBF by ion exchange with H ₃ O ⁺ and the supersaturation degree with respect to apatite, leading to enhancement of apatite formation [5]. In this study, all the specimens showed pH increase by 0.1 to 0.17 after soaking in SBF for 1 day (Data not shown). In the case of the alloys with high Zr content, judging from the result that Na in the shown.	1	for 6 s [20]. Therefore, Na may exist only on the top surface of the present specimens.
solution [20], zirconia hydrogel would be the dominant phase. This is consistent with the report by Uchida <i>et al.</i> [14], where zirconia hydrogel formed after 20 M NaOI treatment. When the Zr content exceeded 50 atom%, the apatite-forming ability significantl decreased (see Figs. 7 and 8). From the result that the specimens formed with th zirconium titanate phase did not precipitate apatite, the zirconium titanate phase woul not contribute to apatite formation. Ti–OH [21] and Zr–OH [22] groups trigge heterogeneous apatite nucleation in the body environment. Therefore, the decrease i the OH amount shown in Fig. 2 would suppress apatite formation. In addition, for NaOH-treated Ti, Na ⁺ released from the surface sodium titanate is known to increase the pH of SBF by ion exchange with H ₃ O ⁺ and the supersaturation degree with respect to apatite, leading to enhancement of apatite formation [5]. In this study, all the specimens showed pH increase by 0.1 to 0.17 after soaking in SBF for 1 day (Data no shown). In the case of the alloys with high Zr content, judging from the result that Na is	2	However, from the fact that Zr has a significantly lower corrosion rate than Ti in NaOH
 the report by Uchida <i>et al.</i> [14], where zirconia hydrogel formed after 20 M NaOI treatment. When the Zr content exceeded 50 atom%, the apatite-forming ability significantl decreased (see Figs. 7 and 8). From the result that the specimens formed with th zirconium titanate phase did not precipitate apatite, the zirconium titanate phase woul not contribute to apatite formation. Ti–OH [21] and Zr–OH [22] groups triggs heterogeneous apatite nucleation in the body environment. Therefore, the decrease i the OH amount shown in Fig. 2 would suppress apatite formation. In addition, for NaOH-treated Ti, Na⁺ released from the surface sodium titanate is known to increase the pH of SBF by ion exchange with H₃O⁺ and the supersaturation degree with respect to apatite, leading to enhancement of apatite formation [5]. In this study, all the specimens showed pH increase by 0.1 to 0.17 after soaking in SBF for 1 day (Data not shown). In the case of the alloys with high Zr content, judging from the result that Na in 	3	solution [20], zirconia hydrogel would be the dominant phase. This is consistent with
5 treatment. 6 When the Zr content exceeded 50 atom%, the apatite-forming ability significantl 7 decreased (see Figs. 7 and 8). From the result that the specimens formed with th 8 zirconium titanate phase did not precipitate apatite, the zirconium titanate phase woul 9 not contribute to apatite formation. Ti–OH [21] and Zr–OH [22] groups trigge 10 heterogeneous apatite nucleation in the body environment. Therefore, the decrease i 11 the OH amount shown in Fig. 2 would suppress apatite formation. In addition, fo 12 NaOH-treated Ti, Na ⁺ released from the surface sodium titanate is known to increase 13 the pH of SBF by ion exchange with H ₃ O ⁺ and the supersaturation degree with respect 14 to apatite, leading to enhancement of apatite formation [5]. In this study, all th 15 specimens showed pH increase by 0.1 to 0.17 after soaking in SBF for 1 day (Data no 16 shown). In the case of the alloys with high Zr content, judging from the result that Na i	4	the report by Uchida et al. [14], where zirconia hydrogel formed after 20 M NaOH
6 When the Zr content exceeded 50 atom%, the apatite-forming ability significantl 7 decreased (see Figs. 7 and 8). From the result that the specimens formed with th 8 zirconium titanate phase did not precipitate apatite, the zirconium titanate phase woul 9 not contribute to apatite formation. Ti–OH [21] and Zr–OH [22] groups trigge 10 heterogeneous apatite nucleation in the body environment. Therefore, the decrease i 11 the OH amount shown in Fig. 2 would suppress apatite formation. In addition, fo 12 NaOH-treated Ti, Na ⁺ released from the surface sodium titanate is known to increase 13 the pH of SBF by ion exchange with H ₃ O ⁺ and the supersaturation degree with respect 14 to apatite, leading to enhancement of apatite formation [5]. In this study, all th 15 specimens showed pH increase by 0.1 to 0.17 after soaking in SBF for 1 day (Data no 16 shown). In the case of the alloys with high Zr content, judging from the result that Na i	5	treatment.
decreased (see Figs. 7 and 8). From the result that the specimens formed with the zirconium titanate phase did not precipitate apatite, the zirconium titanate phase woul not contribute to apatite formation. Ti–OH [21] and Zr–OH [22] groups trigge heterogeneous apatite nucleation in the body environment. Therefore, the decrease i the OH amount shown in Fig. 2 would suppress apatite formation. In addition, for NaOH-treated Ti, Na ⁺ released from the surface sodium titanate is known to increas the pH of SBF by ion exchange with H ₃ O ⁺ and the supersaturation degree with respect to apatite, leading to enhancement of apatite formation [5]. In this study, all the specimens showed pH increase by 0.1 to 0.17 after soaking in SBF for 1 day (Data no shown). In the case of the alloys with high Zr content, judging from the result that Na is	6	When the Zr content exceeded 50 atom%, the apatite-forming ability significantly
8 zirconium titanate phase did not precipitate apatite, the zirconium titanate phase woul 9 not contribute to apatite formation. Ti–OH [21] and Zr–OH [22] groups trigge 10 heterogeneous apatite nucleation in the body environment. Therefore, the decrease i 11 the OH amount shown in Fig. 2 would suppress apatite formation. In addition, fo 12 NaOH-treated Ti, Na ⁺ released from the surface sodium titanate is known to increas 13 the pH of SBF by ion exchange with H ₃ O ⁺ and the supersaturation degree with respect 14 to apatite, leading to enhancement of apatite formation [5]. In this study, all the 15 specimens showed pH increase by 0.1 to 0.17 after soaking in SBF for 1 day (Data no 16 shown). In the case of the alloys with high Zr content, judging from the result that Na is	7	decreased (see Figs. 7 and 8). From the result that the specimens formed with the
9 not contribute to apatite formation. Ti–OH [21] and Zr–OH [22] groups trigge 10 heterogeneous apatite nucleation in the body environment. Therefore, the decrease i 11 the OH amount shown in Fig. 2 would suppress apatite formation. In addition, fo 12 NaOH-treated Ti, Na ⁺ released from the surface sodium titanate is known to increas 13 the pH of SBF by ion exchange with H ₃ O ⁺ and the supersaturation degree with respect 14 to apatite, leading to enhancement of apatite formation [5]. In this study, all the 15 specimens showed pH increase by 0.1 to 0.17 after soaking in SBF for 1 day (Data no 16 shown). In the case of the alloys with high Zr content, judging from the result that Na	8	zirconium titanate phase did not precipitate apatite, the zirconium titanate phase would
heterogeneous apatite nucleation in the body environment. Therefore, the decrease i the OH amount shown in Fig. 2 would suppress apatite formation. In addition, fo NaOH-treated Ti, Na ⁺ released from the surface sodium titanate is known to increas the pH of SBF by ion exchange with H ₃ O ⁺ and the supersaturation degree with respec to apatite, leading to enhancement of apatite formation [5]. In this study, all th specimens showed pH increase by 0.1 to 0.17 after soaking in SBF for 1 day (Data no shown). In the case of the alloys with high Zr content, judging from the result that Na	9	not contribute to apatite formation. Ti-OH [21] and Zr-OH [22] groups trigger
the OH amount shown in Fig. 2 would suppress apatite formation. In addition, for NaOH-treated Ti, Na ⁺ released from the surface sodium titanate is known to increas the pH of SBF by ion exchange with H ₃ O ⁺ and the supersaturation degree with respec to apatite, leading to enhancement of apatite formation [5]. In this study, all the specimens showed pH increase by 0.1 to 0.17 after soaking in SBF for 1 day (Data no shown). In the case of the alloys with high Zr content, judging from the result that Na i	10	heterogeneous apatite nucleation in the body environment. Therefore, the decrease in
NaOH-treated Ti, Na ⁺ released from the surface sodium titanate is known to increas the pH of SBF by ion exchange with H ₃ O ⁺ and the supersaturation degree with respec to apatite, leading to enhancement of apatite formation [5]. In this study, all the specimens showed pH increase by 0.1 to 0.17 after soaking in SBF for 1 day (Data no shown). In the case of the alloys with high Zr content, judging from the result that Na	11	the OH amount shown in Fig. 2 would suppress apatite formation. In addition, for
the pH of SBF by ion exchange with H ₃ O ⁺ and the supersaturation degree with respect to apatite, leading to enhancement of apatite formation [5]. In this study, all the specimens showed pH increase by 0.1 to 0.17 after soaking in SBF for 1 day (Data no shown). In the case of the alloys with high Zr content, judging from the result that Na is	12	NaOH-treated Ti, Na ⁺ released from the surface sodium titanate is known to increase
14 to apatite, leading to enhancement of apatite formation [5]. In this study, all th 15 specimens showed pH increase by 0.1 to 0.17 after soaking in SBF for 1 day (Data no 16 shown). In the case of the alloys with high Zr content, judging from the result that Na	13	the pH of SBF by ion exchange with H_3O^+ and the supersaturation degree with respect
15 specimens showed pH increase by 0.1 to 0.17 after soaking in SBF for 1 day (Data no 16 shown). In the case of the alloys with high Zr content, judging from the result that Na is	14	to apatite, leading to enhancement of apatite formation [5]. In this study, all the
16 shown). In the case of the alloys with high Zr content, judging from the result that Na i	15	specimens showed pH increase by 0.1 to 0.17 after soaking in SBF for 1 day (Data not
	16	shown). In the case of the alloys with high Zr content, judging from the result that Na is

1	hardly incorporated, pH increase would be attributed to another factor such as
2	dissolution of zirconium hydroxide on the surfaces [23]. However, the present results
3	indicate that pH increase do not contribute to the apatite formation at high Zr content.
4	Adsorption of P was not observed for the alloys that did not form apatite (see Fig.
5	8). Hanawa and co-workers [24,25] investigated the surface structural changes of the
6	surfaces of pure Ti, pure Zr, and Ti-Zr alloys in Hanks' solution by XPS, and they
7	found that pure Zr and Ti-Zr alloys with high Zr content adsorbed P but not Ca.
8	However, such zirconium phosphate was not detected for the present specimens by
9	EDX or XRD. This may be because analytical method or chemical state of surface
10	zirconia is different. In addition, zirconia hydrogel produced by the sol-gel method is
11	known to have the ability of Ca adsorption [26]. This means that the ion adsorption
12	behavior on the passive oxide film on the metal surface and the sol-gel-derived metal
13	oxide hydrogel is different, and the adsorption behavior on the surfaces of the present
14	alloys is relatively close to the latter. Apatite nucleation on NaOH- and heat-treated Ti in
15	SBF is known to proceed by Ca adsorption followed by P adsorption [27]. It has also
16	been reported that if Ca is adsorbed on zirconia hydrogel in advance, the P adsorption

capacity increases [26]. Therefore, the apatite-forming ability may be improved on the alloys with high Zr content by appropriate control of the state of Ca adsorption. The surface potential is also an important factor that governs the apatite-forming ability. Hashimoto et al. [28] investigated apatite formation on Ti metal heat treated in various atmospheres, and they found that a highly negatively (-30 to -15 mV) or positively (10 to 15 mV) charged sample tended to form a large amount of apatite. In contrast, the Ti-Hf alloy formed with hafnium titanate with a highly negative zeta potential of about -40 mV did not form apatite [29]. This means that the surface charge suitable for induction of apatite formation is different depending on the substrate. In future, control of the surface potential should also be investigated. It was found that the adhesion of the titanate/zirconate layer formed on the surfaces to the substrates increased as Zr content increased. Considering that the concentration gradient becomes gentle as Zr content increases, it is assumed that the stress concentration is suppressed by the formation of the graded structure. However, even in the alloys with high Zr content, the adhesion between the apatite and the substrate was low. This is probably because the alloys with high Zr content had a

smooth surface after NaOH treatment as shown in Fig. 1, and had little mechanical locking with the apatite. Kim et al. reported that heating at 600°C following NaOH treatment is effective for improving the adhesion of surface titanate layer to Ti substrate [30]. However, in this study, the apatite-forming ability was lost for all the specimens after the heat treatment at 600°C (Data not shown). Also, alpha-beta phase transition may occur around 600 to 800°C [12], which deteriorate mechanical properties of the alloys. It is necessary to pursue optimal heat treatment conditions that maintain the apatite-forming ability. **5.** Conclusions We have found that the apatite-forming ability of NaOH-treated Ti-Zr alloys in SBF is highly dependent on the Zr content. Namely, high Zr content significantly suppresses the apatite-forming ability. This phenomenon is attributed to reduction in the reaction rate in NaOH aqueous solution at high Zr content and the low potential for P to adsorb in SBF. In future, enhancement of the apatite-forming ability of Ti-60Zr is required, because it is has the lowest Young's modulus among the Ti–Zr alloys.

	-
	T
	2
	3
	4
	Ē
	5
	6
	7
	8
	0
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
-	2
Т	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	-
Τ	/
1	8
1	9
2	Λ
4	1
2	Т
2	2
2	3
2	4
4	- E
2	5
2	6
2	7
2	8
2	0
2	9
3	0
3	1
2	2
2	2
3	3
3	4
3	5
2	6
2	7
3	/
3	8
3	9
4	0
1	1
4	1
4	2
4	3
4	4
1	-
4	5
4	6
4	7
4	8
1	a
-	2
5	0
5	1
5	2
5	3
-	1
5	4
5	5
5	6
5	7
5	o o
с -	0
5	9
6	0
6	1
2	2
~	2
6	
	5
6	4
6 6	3 4 5

Acknowledgments

3	The authors thank Ms. Akiko Nomura and Mr. Kazuo Obara of the Institute for
4	Materials Research, Tohoku University, Sendai, Japan for their assistance with sample
5	alloy preparation. We thank Tim Cooper, PhD, from Edanz Group
6	(www.edanzediting.com/ac) for editing a draft of this manuscript.
7	
8	References
9	1. Breme J, Biehl V. Metallic Biomaterials. Black J, Hastings G, editors. Handbook of
10	Biomaterial Properties, London: Chapman & Hall; 1998:135-213.
11	2. Yan WQ, Nakamura T, Kobayashi M, Kim HM, Miyaji F, Kokubo T. Bonding of
12	chemically treated titanium implants to bone. J Biomed Mater Res
13	1997;37:267-275.
14	3. Kokubo T, Kim HM, Kawashita M. Novel bioactive materials with different
15	mechanical properties. Biomaterials 2003:24:2161-2175.
16	4. Cho SB, Kokubo T, Nakanishi K, Soga N, Ohtsuki C, Nakamura T, Kitsugi T,
	18

1		Yamamuro. Dependence of Apatite Formation on Silica Gel on Its Structure: Effect
2		of Heat Treatment. J Am Ceram Soc 1995;78:1769-1774.
3	5.	Kim HM, Miyaji F, Kokubo T, Nakamura T. Preparation of bioactive Ti and its
4		alloys via simple chemical surface treatment. J Biomed Mater Res
5		1996;32:409-417.
6	6.	Wang XX, Hayakawa S, Tsuru K, Osaka A. A comparative study of in vitro apatite
7		deposition on heat-, H ₂ O ₂ -, and NaOH-treated titanium surfaces. J Biomed Mater
8		Res 2001;54:172-178.
9	7.	Yang BC, Uchida M, Kim HM, Zhang Z, Kokubo T. Preparation of bioactive
10		titanium metal via anodic oxidation treatment. Biomaterials 2004;25:1003-1010.
11	8.	Nakagawa M, Zhang L, Udoh K, Matsuya S, Ishikawa K. Effects of hydrothermal
12		treatment with CaCl ₂ solution on surface property and cell response of titanium
13		implants. J Mater Sci Mater Med 2005;16:985–991.
14	9.	Kawashita M, Matsui N, Miyazaki T, Kanetaka H. Effect of autoclave and hot
15		water treatments on surface structure and in vitro apatite-forming ability of NaOH-
16		and heat-treated bioactive titanium metal. Mater Trans 2013;54:811-816.
		19

1	10.	Niinomi M. Recent research and development in titanium alloys for biomedical
2		applications and healthcare goods. Sci Tech Adv Mater 2003;4:445–454.
3	11.	Ho WF, Chen WK, Wu SC, Hsu HC. Structure, mechanical properties, and
4		grindability of dental Ti-Zr alloys. J Mater Sci Mater Med 2008;19:3179-3186.
5	12.	Hari Kumar KC, Wollants P, Delacy L. Thermodynamic assessment of the Ti-Zr
6		system and calculation of the Nb-Ti-Zr phase diagram. J Alloys Compd
7		1994;206:121-127.
8	13.	Shiraishi T, Yubuta K, Shishido T, Shinozaki N. Elastic properties of as-solidified
9		Ti-Zr binary alloys for biomedical applications. Mater Trans 2016;57:1986-1992.
10	14.	Uchida M, Kim HM, Miyaji F, Kokubo T, Nakamura T. Apatite formation on
11		zirconium metal treated with aqueous NaOH. Biomaterials 2002;23:313-317.
12	15.	Chen X, Nouri A, Lin YJ, Hodgson PD, Wen C. Effect of surface roughness of Ti,
13		Zr, and TiZr on apatite precipitation from simulated body fluid. Biotech Bioeng
14		2008;101:378–387.
15	16.	Chen X, Li Y, Hodgson PD, Wen C. In vitro behavior of human osteoblast-like cells
16		(SaOS2) cultured on surface modified titanium and titanium-zirconium alloy.
		20

1		
∠ 3	1	Mater Sci Eng C 2011;31:1545-1552.
4 5		
6 7	2	17. Miyazaki T, Sasaki T, Shirosaki Y, Yokoyama K, Kawashita M. Effect of
8 9		
10 11	3	metallographic structure and machining process on the apatite-forming ability of
12 13		
14 15	4	sodium hydroxide- and heat-treated titanium. Bio-Med Mater Eng
16 17		
18	5	2018;29:109–118.
20	6	10. Ochowski II. Himberli V. Ocike II. Dei II. Teekeeni V. Oceali V. Nede V. Henere
22	0	18. Sakamoto H, Hironashi Y, Saito H, Doi H, Isutsumi Y, Suzuki Y, Noda K, Hanawa
23 24	7	T Effect of active hydroxyl groups on the interfacial bond strength of titanium with
25 26	1	The Encer of dedive hydroxyr groups on the interfactor bond strength of thuman with
27 28	8	segmented polyure than through γ -mercapto propyl trime thoxysilane. Dent Mater J
29 30		
31 32	9	2008;27:81-92.
33 34		
35 36	10	19. Pourbaix M. Atlas of Electrochemical Equilibria in Aqueous Solutions. Houston:
37 38		
39	11	National Association of Corrosion Engineers; 1974.
40		
42	12	20. Motooka T, Yamamoto M. Corrosion behavior of Zr, Ti, Ta and Nb in sodium
44 45	12	hadaarida aalatiana 7airaa ta Kaalara 2011.(0.204.401 (in Lanamaa)
46 47	15	nydroxide solutions. Zairyo-to-Kankyo 2011;60:394-401 (in Japanese).
48 49	14	21 Li P Ohtsuki C Kokubo T Nakanishi K Soga N de Groot K The role of hydrated
50 51		
52 53	15	silica, titania, and alumina in inducing apatite on implants. J Biomed Mater Res
54 55		
56 57	16	1994;28:7-15.
58 59		
60 61		
62		21
63 64		

1	22.	Uchida M, Kim HM, Kokubo T, Miyaji F, Nakamura T. Bonelike apatite formation
2		induced on zirconia gel in a simulated body fluid and its modified solutions. J Am
3		Ceram Soc 2001;84:2041-2044.
4	23.	Kobayashi T, Sasaki T, Takagi I, Moriyama H. Solubility of zirconium (IV) hydrous
5		oxides, J Nucl Sci Tech 2007;44:90-94.
6	24.	Hanawa T, Okuno O, Hamanaka H. Compositional change in surface of Ti-Zr
7		alloys in artificial bioliquid. J Jpn Inst Metals 1992;56:1168-1173 (in Japanese).
8	25.	Tsutsumi Y, Nishimura D, Doi H, Nomura N, Hanawa T. Difference in surface
9		reactions between titanium and zirconium in Hanks' solution to elucidate
10		mechanism of calcium phosphate formation on titanium using XPS and cathodic
11		polarization. Mater Sci Eng C 2009;29:1702-1708.
12	26.	J. Lin, Y. Zhan, H. Wang, M. Chu, C. Wang, Y. He, X. Wang, Effect of calcium ion
13		on phosphate adsorption onto hydrous zirconium oxide, Chem. Eng. J. 309 (2017)
14		118-129. DOI: 10.1016/j.cej.2016.10.001
15	27.	Takadama H, Kim HM, Kokubo T, Nakamura T. An X-ray photoelectron
16		spectroscopy study of the process of apatite formation on bioactive titanium metal.
		22

J Biomed Mater Res 2001;55:185-193. 28. Hashimoto M, Ogawa T, Kitaoka S, Muto S, Furuya M, Kanetaka H, Abe M, Yamashita H. Control of surface potential and hydroxyapatite formation on TiO2 scales containing nitrogen-related defects. Acta Mater 2018;155:379-385. 29. Miyazaki T, Sueoka M, Shirosaki Y, Shinozaki N, Shiraishi T. Development of hafnium metal and titanium-hafnium alloys having apatite-forming ability by chemical surface modification. J Biomed Mater Res Part B Appl Biomater 2018;106B:2519-2523. 30. Kim HM, Miyaji F, Kokubo T, Nakamura T. Effect of heat treatment on apatite-forming ability of Ti metal induced by alkali treatment. J Mater Sci Mater Med 1997;8:341-347.

Table 1 Predicted surface phases formed on the alloys by NaOH treatment

Zr content in atom%	Surface phase
0	Sodium titanate hydrogel
20, 40	Sodium titanate/zirconate hydrogel
60	Sodium titanate/zirconate hydrogel, Crystalline ZrTiO ₄
70	Zirconia/titania hydrogel, Crystalline ZrTiO4
80	Zirconia/titania hydrogel
100	Zirconia hydrogel

1 Figure captions

2 Figure 1 SEM images of the specimen surfaces before and after NaOH treatment.

3 Figure 2 Relationships between the amounts of OH groups on the specimen surfaces

- 4 before and after NaOH treatment and the Zr content, which were determined by Zn
- 5 complex formation method (n=3 for untreated sample and n=6 for treated sample).
- 6 Figure 3 Relationship between the Na/(Ti+Zr) molar ratio on the NaOH-treated 7 specimen surface and the Zr content, which was determined by EDX quantitative
- 8 analyses (n=3).
- 9 Figure 4 Relationship between the corrosion current density of the specimen in 1 M
- 10 NaOH aqueous solution and the Zr content (n=1).
- **Figure 5** TF-XRD patterns of the untreated and NaOH-treated specimens.
- **Figure 6** SEM-EDX profiles of cross-sections of NaOH-treated specimens.
- 13 Figure 7 SEM images of the specimen surfaces after NaOH treatment and subsequent
- 14 immersion in SBF for 7 days.
- 15 Figure 8 TF-XRD patterns of the specimen surfaces after NaOH treatment and
- 16 subsequent immersion in SBF for 7 days.

Figure 9 (Ca or P)/(Ti+Zr) molar ratios of the specimen surfaces with Zr content of \geq 60 atom% after soaking in SBF for 7 days, which were determined by EDX quantitative analyses (n=3). Figure 10 SEM images of the specimen surfaces after NaOH treatment and subsequent immersion in SBF for 7 days, which were attached and detached with an adhesive tape 5 times.



Before NaOH treatment

After NaOH treatment



Fig. 1 (Continued)















Fig. 7







